oviduct model of technologies of reproduction

— Review —

J. O. Ogunranti¹

Department of Anatomy, Faculty of Medical Sciences
University of Jos, Jos, Nigeria

Summary

Gametic biotechnologies involve the procedures which are utilized for procuring reproductive success through the mimicry of *in vivo* events as in *in vitro* fertilisation, embryo transfer etc. With the realization that the oviduct performs most of the procedures mimicked *in vitro* under normal *in vivo* situations, the need to master the oviduct therefore, becomes paramount. The oviduct being an exocrine gland (with its output of glycoproteins) and possibly an endocrine gland must be implicated in all the preimplantational procedures of reproduction, which include ovulation, oocyte maturation, sperm capacitation, gametic and embryonal nutrition, fertilization, and implantation. The evidences in the literature for the implication of the oviduct in these processes are examined. It is concluded that there is a need for the mastery of oviductual activity in order to maximise the successes of the procedures *in vitro*, and provide gametic manipulations which will have high success rates in implantation that is the ultimate after of *in vitro* fertilization for reproductive success.

(Key Words : Oviduct, Reproduction, Implantation)

Introduction

Reproductive manipulations carried out in the oviduct *in vivo* must be enumerated in order to prescribe a workable model of biotechnologies *in vitro* (Ogunranti, 1988a,b). The following may be listed as tentative reproductive manipulations of the oviduct and they will be discussed:

1. ovulation
2. oocyte maturation
3. sperm capacitation
4. gamete and early embryo nutrition
5. fertilization and
6. implantation.

The view is upheld that the mastery and understanding of the procedures by which the manipulations of these processes are carried out by the oviduct will naturally lead to the mastery of the technology of reproduction.

It is customary in modern *in vitro* fertilization (IVF) programme designed to beat clinical infertility to by pass the oviduct during embryo transfer (Stephoe and Edwards, 1978; Wood et al., 1981; Edwards et al., 1980; Lopata and Wood, 1982; Trounson, 1983; Leroy and Puissant, 1988;

Angell et al., 1988; Mills et al., 1992). This, however, is not the case in most animal IVF and embryo transfers (Whittingham, 1979).

Ovulation

The ovulation model of the oviduct was first mentioned by Kent (1974) when after his extensive biochemical studies, he was able to isolate a steroid produced by oviductal stroma. This steroid, from the result of his experiment, seem to be related to ovulation in the hamster since he found that salpingectomised hamsters did not have any corpora lutea as compared to the corporal lutea in control hamsters. Although report on salpingectomy in the rabbit after ovulation which was made by Alhasani et al. (1984) suggested that salpingectomy did not seem to inhibit implantation, it however seems that the effect of the oviduct on reproductive manipulations in early pregnancy is of an immediate periovulatory and perifertilization nature. The nature of anatomic connection of the oviduct of the rabbit with the ovary is different from that of the hamster and human (Del Campo and Ginther, 1972; Ginther et al., 1974). Indeed, McComb and Delbeke (1984) did infact suggest that ovulation is decreased by decreasing the number of blood vessels between the fallopian tube and the ovary in the rabbit.

In order to make our ovulation model at-

¹Address reprint requests to Dr. J. O. Ogunranti, Department of Anatomy, Faculty of Medical Sciences, University of Jos, Jos, Nigeria.

Received January 7, 1992

Accepted August 9, 1993

tractive, it is also necessary to prove that in other mammals including man, salpingectomy actually disturbs the process of ovulation, since in man, this is more than likely to shed light into the presently ill understood phenomenon of the clinical condition of ovulation failure.

Oocyte maturation

The process of oocyte maturation undergoes a secondary arrest of meiosis just after ovulation which is not terminated until sperm penetration. Even though oocyte maturation, dictated by visual evidence of heterotypical division does not occur in the oviduct before sperm penetration, it might be possible that the molecular priming necessary for the attainment of full maturation takes place to some extent in the oviduct.

Tsafirri Bar-Ami and Lindner (1983) divided the process of oocyte maturation into two main stages:

1. Stage after the first meiotic arrest, which occurs just before ovulation;
2. stage during and after the second meiotic arrest, which actually takes place in the oviduct.

After the observation *in vitro* that granulosa cells cocultured with oocytes led to the arrest of meiotic resumption in the oocytes, investigators, led mainly by Tsafirri in Israel (Tsafirri, 1979) started to look for an oocyte maturation inhibitor which is thought to be elaborated by granulosa cells which presumably keep the oocytes after their primary arrest in the dictyate stage until just prior to ovulation when they resume meiosis. The postulation of an oocyte maturation inhibitor by Tsafirri and his associates (Tsafirri and Channing, 1975; Tsafirri et al., 1977), seem quite capable of explaining the fact that not all the oocytes within the ovary proceed to maturation at the same time. Their inhibitor has been partially characterised to be a peptide probably secreted by the follicular cells but the following questions still remained to be answered:

1. Where is the inhibitor produced? Presently investigators are confused as to the exact origin of this inhibitor substance. Many believe it is derived from granulosa cells (Tsafirri et al., 1977; Tsafirri, 1979; Hillensjo et al., 1979a,b), while many others are in doubt (Jagiello et al., 1977; Sato and Ishibashi, 1977) especially when tissues of bovine, porcine or ovine granulosa cells were utilized for study. It may well be produced

in the oviduct.

2. How does the resumption of meiosis occur in the presence of this inhibitor? Do the cells that produce it shut down their production or does its constituent molecules interact with some steroid or gonadotropin which then renders it inefficient? We are quite sure that in *in vivo* systems, luteinising hormone (LH) surge overcomes the inhibitory action of their oocyte maturation inhibitor (Tsafirri et al., 1983). But is it just the LH surge that does this or is it actually progesterone which it influences the lutein cells to produce?

In the elucidation of an oviduct model of oocyte maturation, the following must therefore be borne in mind:

Very classical anatomists (Pick and Howden, 1901) noted a close relationship between just one fimbria and the ovary especially in those animals that do not normally develop an ovarian bursa as in man. It has always been called the fimbria ovarica by anatomists (Williams and Warwick, 1980). Could the role of the fimbria be actually secretory in nature producing some glycoprotein substances involved in periovulatory events including resumption and maintenance of oocyte maturation at least in part?

3. The preampulla conduit has no real function except in siphoning the ova into the ampulla in order to be fertilised by the sperm cells. This conduit might therefore be easily conceived as being able to provide a milieu necessary for the molecular priming of the maturing oocyte as it slides along to its destination in the ampulla. We have demonstrated the existence of hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase in the rat oviduct occurring at the preampulla and ampulla. This means that the oviduct is steroid producing. It probably produces progesterone (Levy et al., 1992). We may then suggest an interaction of free steroid within the oviduct with the oocyte maturation inhibitor of Tsafirri (Tsafirri, 1979; Tsafirri et al., 1983) causing the formation of a complex that inactivates the inhibitor and therefore allowing the resumption of meiosis. These are exciting possibilities of implicating the oviduct in oocyte maturation. Whatever be the case, it is most likely that the oviduct in some manner allows the continued molecular maturation of the oocyte in its journey to the ampulla. After all, oocytes matured *in vivo* and unprimed with steroids do

not allow the formation of male pronucleus even if they resume their division after sperm nucleus penetration.

Capacitation of sperm

Two major sites for sperm capacitation have been long recognised - the uterine and oviductal milieu. The report of Zamboni (1972) suggest that the uterotubal junction of the mouse narrows a little after coitum made Gwatkin Andersen and Hutchinson (1972) to postulated that the oviduct is far more important in the process of capacitation of those sperm cells which are destined to fertilise the oocyte. The regions of the oviduct which perform this function has not received much attention. Yanagimachi (1969) made reference to the fact that materials from the oviductal ampulla just after ovulation can perform capacitation *in vitro*. This report was followed by the experimental report of Gwatkin, Andersen and Hutchinson (1972) which demonstrated that cumulus components of the hamster were mainly responsible for capacitation of sperm within the ampulla portion of the oviduct of that mammal.

The Gwatkin et al. (1972) observation seems plausible since investigators had earlier noticed that progesterone priming of the female reproductive tract caused the loss of capacitating ability in the uterus but not in the oviduct (Bedford, 1970; Hamner and Wilson, 1972). However, since estrogen priming seems to cause increase in capacitating ability of the oviduct, it does appear that some progesterone resistant factors (probably glycoprotein) are produced in the oviduct which aid capacitation other than cumulus cells. It is therefore probably wise to study these glycoproteins and isolate the one(s) that seem to be able to cause capacitation in the oviduct. The fact that the oviduct and uterus synergistically provide the milieu for the capacitation of sperm was again emphasized by the experiment of Soupart and Clegg (1973) on the effect of leucocytic response on capacitation of sperm in the female reproductive tract.

Much more recently, Viriyapanich and Bedford (1981) conducted experiments on the endocrine factors that control capacitation in the golden hamster and they came to the conclusion that for those animals that are cyclic ovulators, progesterone and other steroids may well affect the ability of their oviducts to capacitate sperm. Their

experiment utilized the erstwhile capacitation rate assay first introduced by Chang (1959) and modified later by Soupart (1967), which involves the measurement of fertilising capacity of capacitated sperm.

The data on capacitation of the oviduct is quite illuminating to our model of spatial and temporal production of glycoproteins which are seemed useful in reproductive *in vivo* technology and raises the exciting possibility of isolating glycoprotein factors that specifically help in capacitating sperm. There is a need to obtain regional data on the ability of the oviduct to capacitate sperm (Hamner and McLaughlin, 1974). This data must be obtained in order to allow the proper elucidation of the *in vivo* method of capacitation for applications in *in vitro* systems.

Mention should be made of the technique of xenogenous capacitation *in vitro* employed first by Iwamatsu and Chang (1969) in which cow sperm was capacitated in the oviduct fluid of the hamster. This must continue to have application in any *in vitro* systems of fertilization technology to be employed for differing animal species.

Gamete and early embryo metabolism and nutrition

The most recognised technology of the oviduct is the process of gametic and early embryonal nutrition and metabolism. Large amounts of data abound in the literature on this function and will need little discussion here. The sperm can utilize glucose in the oviduct (Olds and Van Denmark, 1957; Restall and Wales, 1966; Murdoch and White, 1968a; Hamner and Williams, 1964; Thorne and Foley, 1974) and oxygen (Iritani et al., 1969; Restall and Wales 1966; Black et al., 1968; Bravis et al., 1992; Elliot, 1974) reviewed the metabolism of the ova and developing oviductal embryos. Carbohydrate requirements of the developing embryo met by the oviduct were enumerated by Iritani et al. (1969), Friedhandler (1961), Brinster (1968) and Rienius (1970). It is also now known that glucose is a major metabolic fuel for both gametes and the oviduct itself (Bravis et al., 1972). Protein requirements were discussed by Daniel and Olson (1968), Lippes et al. (1972), Shapiro et al. (1974), Feigelson and Kay (1972) and nucleic acids as met by oviductal epithelium by Elliot (1974).

The data on steroids requirement of the early embryo is rather confusing at this moment. Since these hormones alter the composition and the rate of secretion of oviductal fluid (Greenwald, 1969; Hamner and Fox, 1969) it is possible to understand their indirect association with the developing embryo (Kille and Hamner, 1973; Weitlauf, 1971). The direct association can only be surmised from culture conditions on which sex hormones have been added. The data seem to suggest that in the very early embryo, estrogen and progesterone seem to inhibit cell division. The fact that tubal eggs do become steroidogenic have been shown by several investigators (Niimura and Ishida, 1980a,b, 1983) and also the fact that in late stages of development there is less inhibitory effect on developing eggs by steroids (Kirkpatrick, 1971). But what makes the data on early embryonal steroidogenesis most interesting is the fact that the presence of amino acids in culture reverse the antimitotic role of these steroids. The steroids also do seem to cause increase in amino acid incorporation by the protein synthesising embryo at the blastocyst stage of development (Smith and Smith, 1971).

Physical factors have been implicated in the requirements of the developing embryo. Physical contact of the embryo with oviductal epithelial cells have been cited as a possible factor in the maintenance of growth although pressure increase or changes seem to be the most plausible explanation to the effect of contact probably through the agency of mechanoreceptors present in muscularis (Elliot, 1974). There is the need for the developing egg to undergo cell to cell interactions with oviductal milieu and by so doing induce secretion of factors by the oviductal epithelial cells. Corroborative evidence for this interesting hypothesis exist in literature. Thus, Bishop (1956) found that the epithelium of rabbit oviduct seem to secrete more actively at increased pressure. Elliot (1974) reported increased development of the rabbit embryo in conditions of maximal hydrostatic pressure for the oviduct. Also Gandolfi (personal communication) have found that denuded epithelial cells of the oviduct do not secrete protein in culture unless they are provided with some agitation.

There is a need for the developing embryo of several mammalian species to be associated with factors in the oviduct and the rest of the

reproductive tract in order for them to develop through all the stages of preimplantation successfully. These factors we hope to identify as probably glycoprotein factors. Elliot (1974) prefers to call them unidentified factors. The following will serve as a list of the requirements of different species for some oviductal factor (s) which have been shown to be necessarily species specific:

1. 2 cell stage block in some mouse species in *in vitro* culture system (Brinster, 1963; Whittingham, 1966).
2. 4-8 cell stage block in the rat *in vitro* culture systems (Folstad et al., 1969; Thibault, 1972).
3. 9 cell stage block in the cow (Thibault, 1966, 1972).
4. 4 cell stage block in the hamster (Bavister and Minami, 1986).
5. 9 cell stage block in the sheep (Moore and Spry, 1972).

Fertilization and very early development

The data on fertilisation in the ampulla of the oviduct is so straight forward that it might not really be too necessary to spend much time on its description.

Earlier reports indicating that fertilisation took place in the oviduct were made in the 19th century (Bischoff, 1845).

Oviductal fluid has been used extensively in the *in vitro* fertilization of animal eggs (Suzuki and Mastroianni, 1965; Suzuki and Mastroianni, 1968) although the composition of the fluid obtained experimentally is not expected to mimic the *in vivo* fluid as the physical presence of contaminants and a few other physical factors as enumerated by Gould (1974) might actually alter the chemistry especially the concentration of protein. It is however pertinent to note that the fluids collected in the usual way (Black et al., 1963) still support some measure of fertilisation of gamete *in vitro*.

In view of the fact that the oviduct can actually support fertilisation by its own fluid, Lambert and Hamner (1975) decided to investigate the fertilisation capability of some parts of the oviduct fluid. They noted earlier reports which suggested that the entire pseudopregnant period of the rabbit can support fertilization (Austin, 1948; Brown and Hamner, 1971; Bedford, 1970). They therefore designed an experiment to test

the hypothesis that the oviduct fluid can support fertilisation during pseudopregnancy and came up with the conclusion that the 2nd to 14th day of pseudopregnancy seem to have factors that support increased fertilisation rates, while the estrous fluid had factors that were detrimental. Their elegant study must however be interpreted with caution since their experimental model did not mimic the *in vivo* as closely as possible. The interaction between the gametes and oviductal secretory cells in the intact oviduct might very well be different from what has been obtained in an *in vitro* system which has eliminated this very vital interaction with cells of the oviduct rather than just the fluid which they produce.

The recent achievement of *in vitro* fertilisation (IVF) in cows with the use of culture of gametes within the oviduct is worthy to note (Sirard et al., 1985). The modern clinical technique of gamete intra fallopian transfer (GIFT) is beginning to gain grounds as a means of beating infertility in those women with intact tubes who seem to present with idiopathic infertility or high antibody titres to sperm. The recent reports on this technique (Craft et al., 1986; Lee et al., 1986; Diamond et al., 1992; Mills et al., 1992) suggests that intra-fallopian factors in fertilisation may be of extreme importance in reproductive *in vivo* manipulations (Devroey et al., 1986). Another modification of the technique is SIFT - which is sperm intra-fallopian transfer involving the transfer of sperm into the fallopian tube during periovulatory periods, especially designed as therapeutic modality for women who have considerable sperm antibodies and will not be able to program the capacitation and transport of sperm deposited into the reproductive tract through normal copulatory process (Devroey et al., 1986). Other modification include GIFT (gamete intrafallopian transfer) and ZIFT (zygote intrafallopian transfer) in which the environment of the oviduct provides stimulus for gamete fertilisation (GIFT) and development (ZIFT) in order to achieve maximal implantation rates (Lee et al., 1986; Mills et al., 1992).

In a very recent report Brown and Cheng (1986) suggested 'our results, confirm the concept that estrus induced oviducal glycoproteins do interact with egg zona pellucida.'

Our discussion of fertilisation will not be complete without the consideration of the develop-

ment of the early embryo which also require interaction with oviducal factors in order to ensure adequate programming. The report by Gaunt (1985) is important in which a teratocarcinoma factor probably produced by the oviductal epithelium becomes associated with the fertilised zygote six hours after ovulation but is absent in the *in vitro* fertilised egg until after incubation in culture for a few days. This factor may probably be necessary for the proper development of the zygote up to the preimplantation stage.

The report of Kille and Hamner (1973), as mentioned previously, in which it was shown that factors in the oviducal fluid of the rabbit on days 2, 8 and 9 enhanced the developmental capacity of rabbit embryos at their preimplantation stages is also noteworthy. Again, Stone and Hamner (1977) showed that the entire oviduct of the rabbit is essential for the proper development and subsequent implantation by demonstrating that ligation of parts of the oviduct with developing embryos *in situ* led to very low implantation rates when compared with unligated tubes all in intact animals. Their experimental design is similar to that of Adams (1973) who showed that the developing embryo of the rabbit can be supported in the oviduct till early blastocyst stage after which development becomes unsatisfactory.

Perhaps the most spectacular of the functions of the oviduct is that first shown by Whittingham (1968) in which he designed an experiment to bypass the *in vitro* development block in the mouse using explanted oviduct. This was also performed for the golden hamster with success by the use of mouse oviduct explanted in whole using the technique of xenogenous embryo culture technique by Bavister and Minami (1986). These authors were able to bypass the traditional block of the hamster egg from the four cell stage.

'Xenogenous embryo culture using the explanted mouse oviduct is a promising technique for studies on analysis of the blocks to development and for by-passing blocks in experimentally manipulated embryos.'

The causes of blockage of early embryos in culture have not been enunciated by investigators (Thibault, 1972). Brown and Cheng (1986) made mention of the fact that the pig 2 to 4 cell embryo obtained by oocyte maturation and fertilisation *in vitro* seem to have an 'absolute need for the oviduct in order to develop further.'

The block in *in vitro* systems of some animal embryos in culture may therefore be conceived as being caused by the unavailability of essential factor that program the animal embryo in a defined temporal sequence. Thus, the use of the oviduct to overcome these block suggests that the above factor is elaborated in the oviduct and that its elaboration is dependent on multicellular compartment assembly as occurs in whole explanted tissues of oviduct. Moor and his associates working in Cambridge have shown that the epithelial cells when isolated in culture do not produce any secretions and tend to differentiate and become transformed to fibroblasts whatever their spatial or temporal parameter before isolation in culture (Gandolfi et al., 1986). From their report, it therefore seems reasonable to conclude that *in vitro* systems that will support the production of oviductal factors must be compartmentally multicellular and that the epithelial secretory cells are incapable of secretion without the influence of their surrounding stromal cells.

Other reports exist in the literature which tend to support the functional role of the oviduct in ensuring adequate pre-implantational development. Since the report of Hunter et al. (1962) that sheep ova can be stored in the oviduct of the rabbit and then transferred into the sheep in order to continue its growth, several other reports of this xenogenous storage and maintenance of early development using the rabbit have been made for different mammalian species. Allen et al. (1976) reported similar procedure for horse embryos. Chang (1966) did a similar study for the ferret. Polge et al. (1972) did the same for the pig and Lawson et al. (1972) made the report for the cow. Boland (1984) provided a review of this procedure and from his review it does seem that the rabbit oviduct is capable of elaborating factors that maintain the embryos of other species in the oviduct before transfer.

Adams (1973) reporting on the development of the rabbit embryo in ligated oviduct came to the conclusion that the rabbit oviduct provides the milieu for development in the rabbit till the early blastocyst stage. Kille and Hamner (1973) showed that the oviductal fluid on days 2, 8 and 9 seem to support the growth of the developing egg by a means of specific yet unidentified factor elaboration. It thus seems almost firmly established that the early embryo requires some factors

from the oviduct which helps it to develop *in vivo* to the terminal preimplantational stage. The clinical report of Dvorak et al. (1985) does seem to corroborate the fact that the early embryo can be supported in the oviductal milieu since they pioneered a technique which involved the transfer of zygote fertilised *in vitro* into the fallopian tube during the time of reconstructive tubal surgery although their technique met with limited success.

Implantation

It has been shown repeatedly that the high failure rates of IVF and successful birth is mainly caused by the failure of implantation (Wood et al., 1981) and therefore the examination of implantation data and the understanding of implantation phenomenon is bound to shed some light onto the development of reproductive technology which will support a complete mastery of implantation and in clinical practice, will provide a means of control of reproduction and pregnancy at will (Edwards et al., 1985).

If we do believe that there are factors that are elaborated by the oviduct to support the eventual process of implantation, we shall have to determine what parts of the oviduct actually produce these factors. We have been able to show that other regions of the oviduct have their specialities of function as follows:

1. oocyte maturation - preampulla
2. fertilisation - ampulla
3. sperm capacitation - ampulla/juncture
4. implantation - isthmus - ampulla?

We are tempted to put down the isthmus as the site for perimplantational manipulation since the embryos for rabbit, rat and mouse spends considerable number of hours inside it possibly due to its narrow structure. We are also tempted to use the isthmus as the site of manipulation because of the Jansen report (Jansen and Bajpai, 1982) which suggests that it is the glycoproteins produced by the isthmus which is associated with the developing egg in the rabbit. But, if we consider the data in the guinea pig, human, the baboon and the rhesus monkey we might be tempted to hesitate. The developing egg spends more time in the ampulla of these species as was shown for human (Cheviakoff et al., 1976) and rhesus monkey (Eddy et al., 1975), and then for the baboon, (Eddy et al., 1976) and the guinea

glycans and other factors deemed necessary for reproductive success. It is possible to develop methods of coculture of gametes with ampullary zones to achieve high levels of fertilisation (Sirand et al., 1985) or the use of other explanted oviductal tissues to secure high rates of implantation until such a time as all important glycoproteins and deemed necessary for success are characterized.

Literature Cited

- Adams, C. E. 1960. Egg development in the rabbit, the influence of post-coital ligation of the uterine tube and of ovariectomy. *J. Endocrinol.* 16:283.
- Adams, C. E. 1973. The development of rabbit eggs in the ligated oviduct and their viability after retransfer to recipient rabbits. *J. Embryol. Exp. Morph.* 29(1):133.
- Allen, W. R., F. Stewart, A. O. Trounson, M. Tischner and W. Bielanski. 1976. Viability of horse embryos after storage and long distance transport in the rabbit. *J. Reprod. Fertil.* 47:387.
- Alhasani, S., V. H. Ven, K. Diedrich, U. Hamerich, F. Lehmann and D. Krebs. 1984. Effect of unilateral salpingectomy on implantation in rabbits. *Zuchthygiene* 19(4):145.
- Angell, R. R., S. G. Hillier, J. D. West, A. F. Glasier, M. W. Rodger and D. T. Beird. 1988. Chromosome abnormalities in human preimplantation embryos. Symposium on development of preimplantation embryos and their environment, Kyoto Japan. New York: Alan R. Liss.
- Austin, C. R. 1948. Number of sperms required for fertilisation. *Nature* 162:534.
- Baesch, P. and W. J. Hamilton. 1954. Some observations on vitally stained rabbit ova with special reference to their albuminous coat. *J. Embryol. Exp. Morphol.* 2:81.
- Baddeley, R. M. 1970. The surgical management of obesity. In: Taylor, S. ed. Recent advances in surgery. No. 9. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone.
- Bavister, B. D. and N. Minami. 1986. Use of cultured mouse oviducts to bypass *in vitro* development block in cleavage stage hamster embryos. *Biol. Reprod. Suppl.* 191 abstr.
- Bedford, J. M. 1970. Sperm capacitation and fertilisation in mammals. *Biol. Reprod. Suppl.* 2:128.
- Bischoff, T. L. W. von. 1845. The periodical maturation and extrusion of ova, independently of coitus, in mammalia and man, proved to be the primary condition to their propagation. Trans. London, H. Smith.
- Bishop, D. W. 1956. Active secretion in the rabbit oviduct. *Am. J. Physiol.* 187:347.
- Black, D. L., L. V. Crowley, R. T. Duby and C. H. Spilman. 1968. Effect of oviduct on O₂ uptake by ram spermatozoa. *Fedn. Proc. Abstr.* 25:190.
- Black, D. L., R. T. Duby and J. Riesen. 1963. Apparatus for the continuous collection of sheep oviduct fluid. *J. Reprod. Fertil.* 6:257.
- Brackett, B. G. and L. Mastroianni, Jr. 1974. Oviduct. In: Johnson, A. D. & C. W. Foley. The Oviduct and Its Functions, New York, London: Academic Press.
- Braden, A. W. H. 1952. Properties of the membranes of rat and rabbit eggs. *Aust. J. Sci. Res. Ser. B.* 5:460.
- Bravis, I. A., R. M. L. Winston and H. J. Leese. 1992. Energy metabolism of the human fallopian tube. *J. Reprod. Fertil.* 95:257.
- Brinster, R. L. 1963. A method for *in vitro* cultivation of mouse ova from two-cell to blastocyst. *Exp. Cell Res.* 32:205.
- Brinster, R. L. 1968. Carbon dioxide production from glucose by the preimplantation rabbit embryo. *Exp. Cell Res.* 51:330.
- Boland, M. P. 1984. Use of the rabbit oviduct as a screening tool for the viability of mammalian eggs. *Theriogenol.* 21(1):126.
- Boving, G. H. 1959. Implantation. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 75:700.
- Brown, C. R. and W. K. T. Cheng. 1986. Changes in composition of the porcine zona pellucida during development of the oocyte to the 2 to 4 cell embryo. *J. Embryol. Exp. Morph.* 92:183.
- Brown, S. M. and C. E. Hamner. 1971. Capacitation of sperm in the female reproductive tract of the rabbit during estrus and pseudopregnancy. *Fertil. Steril.* 22:92.
- Chang, M. C. 1959. Fertilisation of rabbit ova *in vitro*. *Nature (London)* 184:466.
- Chang, M. C. 1966. Reciprocal transplantation of eggs between rabbit and ferret. *J. Exp. Zool.* 161:297.
- Cheviakoff, S., S. Diaz, M. Carill, N. Patrilli, H. D. Croxatto, C. Lladós, M. E. Ortiz and H. B. Croxatto. 1976. Ovum transport in women. In: Harper et al. eds. Ovum transport and fertility regulation. WHO Task Force on Methods for the Regulation of Ovum Transport-San Antonio, Copenhagen: Scriptor.
- Collins, S., A. C. Menge, J. T. Archie and S. J. Behraman. 1979. Immunologic response of the rabbit oviduct. *Int. J. Fertil.* 24:149.
- Craft, I., S. Lee and M. Ah-Moye. 1986. Gamete intra-Fallopian transfer, the Humana experience. Joint Winter Meeting of the British Neuroendocrine Group & the Society for the Study of Fertility, Sutton Bonington, Abstr. p. 70.
- Daniel, J. C. and J. D. Olson. 1968. Amino acid requirements for cleavage of the rabbit ovum. *J. Reprod. Fertil.* 15:453.
- David, A., B. G. Brackett, C. R. Garcia and L. Mastroianni, Jr. 1969. Composition of rabbit oviduct fluid in ligated segments of the fallopian tube. *J. Reprod. Fertil.* 19:285.
- Del Campo, C. H. and O. J. Ginther. 1972. Vascular anatomy of uterus and ovaries and the unilateral

- luteolytic effect of the uterus: guinea pigs, rats, hamsters and rabbits. *Am. J. Vet. Res.* 33:2561.
- Devroey, P., P. Braeckmans, L. Heytens, I. Kanh, J. Smits, C. Staessens, L. van Waesberghe, A. Wisanto and A. C. Sterteghem. 1986. Gamete and zygote intrafallopian transfer in infertile patients. Annual Conference of the British Society for the Study of Fertility, University of Bristol, Abstr. 123.
- Diamond, E., R. Sutin, M. Yemini, A. Venissee and T. Mukaida. 1992. Culdoscopy gamete tubal transfer: a new approach to gamete intrafallopian tubal transfer. *Fertil. Steril.* 57:1114.
- Dvorak, M., L. Pilka, J. Tesarik and P. Travník. 1985. Tubal transfer of an oocyte inseminated *in vitro* at the time of tubal reconstructive surgery. In: Feichtinger, W., & P. Kemetereds. Recent Progress in Human *in vitro* Fertilisation. Cofese. Edizioni.
- Eddy, C. A., R. G. Garcia, D. C. Kraemer and C. J. Pauerstein. 1975. Detailed time course of ovum transport in the rhesus monkey, (*Macaca mulatta*) *Biol. Reprod.* 13:363.
- Eddy, C. A., T. T. Turner, D. C. Kraemer and C. J. Pauerstein. 1976. Pattern and duration of ovum Transport in the baboon (*Papio anubis*). *Obstet. Gynecol.* 47:658.
- Edwards, R. G., P. C. Steptoe and J. M. Purdy. 1980. Fertilisation and cleavage *in vitro* of preovulatory oocytes. *Nature (Lond)* 221:632.
- Edwards, R. G., J. M. Purdy and P. C. Steptoe. 1985. The implantation of the human egg. Proceedings of Bourne Hall Symposium.
- Elliot, D. S. 1974. Ova and embryo metabolism: functions of the oviduct. In Johnson, A. D. and C. W. Foley eds. The oviduct and its functions. New York London: Academic Press.
- Feigelson, M. and E. Kay. 1972. Protein patterns of rabbit oviductal fluid. *Biol. Reprod.* 6:240.
- Folstad, L., A. Bennet, R. I. Dorfman. 1969. The *in vitro* culture of rat ova. *J. Reprod. Fertil.* 18: 145.
- Fox, L. L. and C. A. Shivers. 1975. Immunological evidence for addition of oviductal components to the hamster zona pellucida. *Fertil. Steril.* 26 (6):599.
- Freidhandler, L. 1961. Pathways of glucose metabolism in fertilised rabbit ova at various pre-implantation stages. *Exp. Cell. Res.* 22:303.
- Gandolfi, F., T. Brevini and R. M. Moor. 1986. Role of somatic cells in the long term culture of ovine embryos. Annual Conference of the British Society for the Study of Fertility, Bristol. Abstract No. 111.
- Gaunt, S. J. 1985. *in vivo* and *in vitro* cultured mouse preimplantation embryos differ in their display of a terato-carcinoma cell surface antigen, possible binding of an oviduct factor. *J. Embryol. Exp. Morph.* 88:55.
- Ginther, O. J., D. J. Dierschke, S. W. Walsh and C. H. DelCampo. 1974. Anatomy of arteries and veins of uterus and ovaries in rhesus monkeys. *Biol. Reprod.* 11:204.
- Gould, A. 1974. Fertilisation as a function of the oviduct. In: Johnson, A. D. and C. W. Foley eds. The oviduct and its functions. London-New York: Academic Press.
- Greenwald, G. S. 1958. Endocrine regulation of the secretion of mucin in the tubal epithelium of the rabbit. *Anat. Rec.* 130:477.
- Greenwald, G. S. 1961. A study of the transport of ova through the rabbit oviduct. *Fertil. Steril.* 12(1):80.
- Greenwald, G. S. 1962. The role of the mucin layer in development of the rabbit blastocyst. *Anot. Rec.* 142:407.
- Greenwald, G. S. 1969. Endocrinology of oviductal secretions. In: Hafez, E. S. E. & R. J. Blandau eds. The mammalian oviduct-comparative biology and methodology. University of Chicago Press.
- Gwatkin, R. B. L., D. F. Andersen and C. F. Hutchinson. 1972. Compaction of hamster spermatozoa *in vitro*. The role of cumulus components. *J. Reprod. Fertil.* 30:389.
- Hamner, C. E. and S. E. Fox. 1969. Immunology of oviductal secretions, In: Hafez, E. S. E. and R. J. Blandau. The mammalian oviduct-comparative biology and methodology, University of Chicago Press.
- Hamner, C. E. and K. C. McLaughlin. 1974. Capacitation of sperm, as a function of the oviduct, In: Johnson, A. D. & C. W. Foley eds. The oviduct and its functions, New York: Academic Press.
- Hamner, C. E. and W. L. Williams. 1964. Identification of sperm stimulating factor of rabbit oviduct fluid. *Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med.* 117:240.
- Hamner, C. E. and L. A. Wilson. Jr. 1972. Inhibition of capacitation in the rabbit. *Fertil. Steril.* 23: 196.
- Hillensjo, T., C. P. Channing, S. H. Pomerantz and A. Schartz-Kripner. 1979a. Intrafollicular control of oocyte maturation in the pig. *In vitro* 15: 32.
- Hillensjo, T., A. S. Kripner and S. H. Pomerantz. 1979b. Action of porcine follicular fluid oocyte maturation *in vitro*, possible role of the cumulus cells. In: Channing, C. F., J. M. Marsh, W. A. Sadler eds - Ovarian follicular and corpus luteum function, New York: Plenum Press.
- Hunter, G. L., G. P. Bishop, C. E. Adams and L. E. A. Rowson. 1962. Successful long-distance aerial transport of fertilised sheep ova. *J. Reprod. Fertil.* 3:33.
- Hyde, B. A., D. L. Black and E. W. Overstrom. 1985. Synthesis and secretion of sulphated macromolecule by oviduct tissue *in vitro*. *Biol. Reprod.* 32 suppl. 1:23.
- Iritani, A., W. R. Gomes and N. L. Vandenmark. 1969. Secretion rates and chemical composition of oviduct and uterine fluids in ewes. *Biol. Reprod.* 1:72.

- Iwamatsu, T. and M. C. Chang. 1969. *in vitro* fertilization of mouse eggs in the presence of bovine follicular fluid. *Nature* 224:919.
- Jagiello, G., J. Graffeo, M. Ducayen and R. Prosser. 1977. Further studies of inhibitors of *in vitro* mammalian oocyte maturation. *Fertil. Steril.* 28: 476.
- Jansen, R. P. S. 1978. Fallopian tube isthmus mucus and ovum transport. *Science*. 201:349.
- Jansen, R. P. S. and V. K. Bajpai. 1982. Oviduct acid mucus glycoproteins in the estrous rabbit; ultrastructure and histochemistry. *Biol. Reprod.* 26: 155.
- Kapur, R. P. and L. V. Johnson. 1986. Selective sequestration of an oviductal fluid glycoprotein in the perivitelline space of mouse oocytes and embryos. *J. Exp. Zool.* 238:249.
- Kent, H. A. Jr. 1967. Influence of reproductive tract hormones on level of muscle glycogen in the hamster. *Fertil. Steril.* 18(6):831.
- Kent, H. A. Jr. 1973. A polypeptide from oviductal contents which influence ovarian function. *Biol. Reprod.* 8:38.
- Kent, H. A. Jr. 1974. The oviduct as an endocrine gland. Its effect upon general somatic carbohydrate metabolism and upon the ovary. In: Johnson, A. D., and C. W. Foley eds. *The oviduct and its functions*, New York and London: Academic Press.
- Kille, J. W. and C. E. Hamner. 1973. The influence of oviductal fluid on the development of one-cell rabbit embryos *in vitro*. *J. Reprod. Fertil.* 35: 515.
- Kirkpatrick, J. F. 1971. Differential sensitivity of pre-implantation mouse embryos *in vitro* to oestradiol and progesterone. *J. Reprod. Fertil.* 27:283.
- Lambert, R. D. and C. Hamner. 1975. *In vitro* fertilisation of rabbit eggs in oviduct secretions from different days before and after ovulation. *Fertil. Steril.* 26(7):660.
- Lawson, R. A. S., C. E. Adams and L. E. A. Rowson. 1972. The development of cow eggs in the rabbit oviduct and their viability after re-transfer to heifers. *J. Reprod. Fertil.* 28:313.
- Lee, S., I. Craft, P. Brinsden, M. Ah-Moye, D. Lim-Howe, A. Glew, A. Shepherd, M. Curry and M. Tomkings. 1986. Preliminary results from the Humana experience, Joint Winter Meeting of the British Neuroendocrine Group and the Society for the Study of Fertility, Sutton Bonington, Abstract. 70.
- Leroy, F. and F. Puissant. 1988. Viability of *in vitro* developed human embryos and their environment. Symposium on development of pre-implantation embryos and their environment. New York: Alan R. Liss.
- Levy, H., W. Deane and B. L. Rubin. 1959. Visualisation of steroid-3 β -ol-dehydrogenase activity in tissues of intact and hypophysectomised rats. *Endocrinology*. 65:932.
- Lippes, J., R. G. Enders, D. A. Pragay and W. B. Bartholomew. 1972. The collection and analysis of human Fallopian tubal fluid. *Contraception*. 5:85.
- Lopata, A. and C. Wood. 1982. *in vitro* fertilisation and embryo transfer: its clinical implication. In: Oxford reviews of reproductive biology. vol. 4. Finn C. A. ed. Oxford: Clarendon Press. p. 147.
- Maia, H. Jr., L. A. Salinas, E. Fernandez and C. J. Pauerstein. 1977. Time course of ovum transport in guinea pigs. *Fertil. Steril.* 28:863.
- McComb, P. and L. Delbecke. 1984. Decreasing the number of ovulations in the rabbit with surgical division of the blood vessels between the fallopian tube and ovary. *J. Reprod. Med.* 29:827.
- Mills, M. S., H. A. Eddowes, D. J. Cahill, U. M. Fahy, M. I. M. Abuzeid, A. Medermott and M. G. R. Hull. 1992. A prospective controlled study of *in vitro* fertilisation, gamete intra Fallopian transfer and intrauterine insemination combined with superovulation. *Hum. Reprod.* (Eynsham). 7:490.
- Moore, N. W. and G. A. Spry. 1972. The culture of fertilised sheep ova (abstr.). *J. Reprod. Fertil.* 28:139.
- Murdoch, R. N. and I. G. White. 1968a. The influence of the female genital tract on the metabolism of rabbit spermatozoa. I. Direct effect of tubal and uterine fluids, bicarbonate and other factors. *Austr. J. Biol. Sci.* 21:973.
- Murdoch, R. N. and I. G. White. 1968b. Activity of enzymes in the endometrium, caruncle, and uterine rinsings of progesterone treated and naturally cycling ewes. *Austr. J. Biol. Sci.* 21:123.
- Niimura, S. and K. Ishida. 1980a. Histochemical studies of hydroxysteroid dehydrogenases in tubal eggs of mice, rats and guinea pigs. *Jpn. J. Anim. Reprod.* 26:89.
- Niimura, S. and K. Ishida. 1980b. Histochemical demonstration of prostaglandin dehydrogenase in the follicular ova of the hamster. *Jpn. J. Anim. Reprod.* 26:193.
- Niimura, S. and K. Ishida. 1983. Histochemical demonstration of hydroxysteroid dehydrogenases in the oocytes in antral follicles of pigs, cattle and horses. *Jpn. J. Anim. Reprod.* 29:150.
- Olds, D. and N. L. VanDenmark. 1957. Composition of luminal fluids in bovine female genitalia. *Fertil. Steril.* 8:345.
- Ogunranti, J. O. 1986. Experimental jejuno-ileal bypass in the rat: weight, chemical and histochemical monitors. *Bull. Sci. Assoc. Nig.* 11:46.
- Ogunranti, J. O. 1987. Anatomy of *In vitro* fertilization. *Ind. J. Med. Sci.* 40:252.
- Ogunranti, J. O. 1988. The oviduct as an endocrine gland. *Afrancet.* 1:1.
- Ogunranti, J. O. 1989a. Histomorphometric preparation for gamete transport in the rat oviduct. *Proc. Intern. Un. Physiol. Sci.* XVII:183.
- Ogunranti, J. O. 1989b. Histochemical and ultrastructural evidence that the oviduct is an

- endocrine endocrine gland. Proceedings of the 12th Federative International Anatomical Congress, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, Abstr.
- Ogunranti, J. O. 1990. New aspects of physiology of oviduct. *Nig. J. Physiol. Sci.* 6:93.
- Ogunranti, J. O. 1992. Δ^5 -3 β -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase activity in rat oviduct and implications of oviductal steroidogenesis. *Eur. J. Obstet. Gynecol. Reprod. Biol.* 44:145.
- Pick, T. P. and R. Howden. 1901. Anatomy, descriptive and surgical. New York: Bounty Books. p. 1034.
- Polge, C., C. E. Adams and R. D. Baker. 1972. Development and survival of pig embryos in the rabbit oviduct. *Proc. 7th Intern. Congr. Anim. Reprod. Artif. Insem. Munich.* 1:513.
- Reinius, S. 1970. Morphology of oviduct, gametes and zygotes as a basis of oviductal function in the mouse. I. Secretory activity of oviductal epithelium. *Intern. J. Fertil.* 15:191.
- Restall, B. J. and R. G. Wales. 1966. The Fallopian tube of the sheep. III. The chemical composition of the fluid from the Fallopian tube. *Austr. J. Biol. Sci.* 19:687.
- Richardson, L. L., C. E. Hamner and G. Oliphant. 1980. Some characteristics of an inhibitor of embryonic development from rabbit oviductal fluid. *Biol. Reprod.* 22:553.
- Russe, I. and H. G. Leibich. 1979. Maturation of secretory granules in the endosalpinx one to four days post coitum in sheep. *Cell Tiss. Res.* 201:145.
- Sato, E. and T. Ishibashi. 1977. Meiotic arresting action of the substance obtained from cell surface of porcine ovarian granulosa cells. *Jpn. J. Zootech. Sci.* 48:22.
- Schaeffer, J. M., J. L. Aaron, J. W. Hsueh and S. S. C. Yen. 1984. Presence of oxytocin and arginine vasopressin in human ovary, oviduct and follicular fluid. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 59:970.
- Shalgi, R., R. Kaplan and P. F. Kraicer. 1977. Proteins of follicular, bursal and ampullary fluids of rats. *Biol. Reprod.* 17:333.
- Shapiro, S. S., N. E. Brown and A. S. Yard. 1974. Isolation of an acidic glycoprotein from rabbit oviductal fluid and its association with the egg coating. *J. Reprod. Fertil.* 40:281.
- Sirard, M. A., R. D. Lambert, D. P. Menard and M. Bedoya. 1985. Pregnancies after *in vitro* fertilization of cow follicular oocytes, their incubation in rabbit oviduct and their transfer to the cow. *J. Reprod. Fertil.* 75:551.
- Smith, D. M. and A. E. S. Smith. 1971. Uptake and incorporation of amino acids by cultured mouse embryos, estrogen stimulation. *Biol. Reprod.* 4:66.
- Soupart, P. 1967. Studies on the hormonal control of rabbit sperm capacitation. *J. Reprod. Fertil. Suppl.* 2:49.
- Soupart, P. and R. F. Clegg. 1973. Rabbit sperm capacitation under conditions inhibiting the leucocytic response to the presence of sperm in the female genital tract. *Fertil. Steril.* 24(5):364.
- Stephens, P. C. and R. G. Edwards. 1978. Birth after the reimplantation of human embryo. *Lancet* 11:366.
- Stone, S. L., W. R. Huckle and O. Oliphant. 1980. Identification and hormonal control of reproductive tract-specific antigens present in rabbit oviductal fluid. *Gamete Res.* 3:169.
- Stone, S. L. and C. E. Hamner. 1977. Hormonal and regional influences of the oviduct on the development of rabbit embryos. *Biol. Reprod.* 16:638.
- Sutton, R., C. D. Nancarrow, A. L. C. Wallace and N. W. Rigby. 1984. Identification of an oestrus-associated glycoprotein in oviductal fluid of the sheep. *J. Reprod. Fertil.* 72:415.
- Suzuki, S. and L. Mastroianni. 1965. *In vitro* fertilization and rabbit ova in tubal fluid. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 93:465.
- Suzuki, S. and L. Mastroianni. 1968. *In vitro* fertilization of rabbit follicular oocytes in tubal fluid. *Fertil. Steril.* 19:716.
- Thibault, C. 1966. La culture *in vitro* de l'Oeuf de Vache. *Ann. Biol. Anim. Biochem. Biophys.* 6:159.
- Thibault, C. 1972. Physiology and physiopathology of the Fallopian tube. *Int. J. Fertil.* 17:1.
- Thorne, J. G. and C. W. Foley. 1974. Sperm metabolism, a function of the oviduct. In: Johnson, A. D. and C. W. Foley eds. *The oviduct and its functions*, New York: Academic Press.
- Trounson, A. 1983. Factors controlling normal embryo development and implantation of human oocytes fertilised *in vitro*. In: Beier, H. M. & H. R. Lindner eds, *Fertilization of the human egg in vitro*, Berlin-Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, p. 235.
- Tsafri, A. 1979. Mammalian oocyte maturation, model systems and their physiological relevance. In: Ovarian follicular and corpus luteum function, Channing C. P. and J. M. March eds. New York: Plenum Press.
- Tsafri, A. and C. Channing. 1975. Inhibitory influence of granulosa cells and follicular fluid upon porcine oocyte meiosis *in vitro*. *Endocrinology.* 96:922.
- Tsafri, A., C. P. Channing, S. H. Pomerantz and H. R. Lindner. 1977. Inhibition of maturation of isolated rat oocytes by porcine follicular fluid. *J. Endocrinol.* 75:285.
- Tsafri, A., S. E. Bar-Ami and H. R. Lindner. 1983. Control of the development of meiotic competence and of oocyte maturation in mammals. In: Beier, H. M. & H. R. Lindner. eds., *Fertilisation of the human egg in vitro*. Berlin-Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, p. 3.
- Tucker, E. B. and G. A. Schultz. 1977. Uterine and oviduct proteins. *Biol. Reprod.* 17:749.
- Viriyapanich, P., J. M. Bedford. 1981. Sperm capacitation in the Fallopian tube of the hamster and its suppression by endocrine factors. *J. Exp. Zool.* 217:403.
- Weitlauf, H. M. 1971. Protein synthesis by blastocysts in the uteri and oviducts of intact and hypophy-

- sectomised mice. J. Exp. Zool. 176:35.
- Whittingham, D. G. 1966. Critical phase in the cultivation of mouse ova *in vitro* (abstr). J. Cell. Biol. 31, 123A.
- Whittingham, D. G. 1968. Development of zygotes in cultured mouse oviducts, I. the effect of varying oviductal conditions. J. Exp. Zool. 169:391.
- Whittingham, D. G. 1979. *In vitro* fertilisation, embryo transfer and storage. Br. Med. Bull. 35(2):105.
- Williams, L. and R. Warwick. 1980. eds. 38th ed. Gray's Anatomy of the Human Body, Edinburgh; Churchill Livingstone.
- Wood, C., A. Trounson, J. Leeton, J. M. Talbot, B. Buttery, J. Webb, J. Wood and J. Jessup. 1981. A clinical assessment of nine pregnancies obtained by *in vitro* fertilisation and embryo transfer. Fertil. Steril. 38(5):502.
- Woodruff, J. D. and C. J. Pauerstein. 1969. The Fallopian tube-structure, function, pathology and management, Baltimore; Williams and Wilkins.
- Yanagimachi, R. 1969. *In vitro* capacitation of hamster spermatozoa by follicular fluid. J. Reprod. Fertil. 18:275.
- Zamboni, L. 1972. Comparative studies on the ultrastructure of mammalian oocytes. In: J. D. Biggers and A. W. Schueti eds-Oogenesis, Baltimore: University Park Press.