



Selenium in Food Chain and Animal Nutrition: Lessons from Nature -Review-

M. P. Lyons¹, T. T. Papazyan² and P. F. Surai^{3,4} *

¹ Alltech, Inc., Nicholasville, Kentucky, USA

ABSTRACT : Selenium is considered to be one of the most controversial trace elements. On the one hand, it is toxic at high doses and there is a great body of information related to environmental issues of Se contamination. On the other hand, Se deficiency is a global problem related to an increased susceptibility to various diseases of animals and humans and decreased productive and reproductive performance of farm animals. Optimisation of Se nutrition of poultry and farm animals will result in increased efficiency of egg, meat and milk production and even more important, will improve quality. From the data presented in the review it is clear that the main lesson which we have to learn from nature is how to use organic selenium in animal and human diets. Selenium-enriched yeast (Sel-Plex) is the result of such a lesson and it is just a matter of time before animal nutrition moves completely from using ineffective sodium selenite to organic selenium. Other lessons from nature will follow. Recent advances in genomics and proteomics, in association with descriptions of new selenoproteins, will be a driving force in reconsidering old approaches related to Se nutrition. Probably 90% of all Se research has been conducted with sodium selenite and we now understand that the natural form of selenium is different. The main advances in Se status assessment and Se requirements were established based on the activity of glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px), an enzyme which for many years was considered to be the main selenoprotein. Recently it was discovered that it is only one of at least 25 various selenoproteins. Se research and practical applications are developing quickly and they are very exciting and promising. (**Key Words** : Selenium, Selenomethionine, Se-yeast, Nutrition, Poultry, Farm Animals)

INTRODUCTION

Selenium is considered to be one of the most controversial trace elements. On the one hand, it is toxic at high doses and there is a great body of information related to environmental issues of Se contamination. On the other hand, Se deficiency is a global problem related to an increased susceptibility to various diseases of animals and humans and decreased productive and reproductive performance of farm animals.

The selenium cycle in the food chain of land animals and humans starts from the soil and includes plant and animal sources ultimately dependent on its assimilation from the soil. Indeed, soils are the major source of Se for plants and therefore for animals eating those plants and

humans consuming plant and animal-derived foods. Considering food and feed sources of Se it is necessary to mention that Se levels vary greatly in different foods as well as in the same foods grown in different areas. In fact it seems likely that low Se availability from various soils is a result of agricultural practises. Firstly, usage of inorganic fertilizers containing sulphur decreases Se availability. Secondly, soil acidification also substantially decreases Se availability. Furthermore, decreased soil aeration also decreases Se availability. There have been several attempts to solve this problem by using Se fertilization. In particular, such a technique has been widely used in Finland for the last 20 years. However, there are several relevant questions to answer before the technique can be widely used in other countries. For example, it is not known how Se fertilization could affect the microbial population of the soil.

There is an inconsistency in the common practise of selenium supplementation of animal diets. On the one hand, naturally occurring organic selenium is represented by a mixture of selenoamino acids with selenomethionine (SeMet) comprising more than 50% of the total selenium in many feed ingredients, including grains and forages, etc. In

* Corresponding Author: P. F. Surai. Tel: +1-441780764512, Fax: +1-441780764506, E-mail: psurai@mail.ru

² Alltech Russia, Moscow, Russia.

³ Division of Environmental and Evolutionary Biology, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, Scotland, UK.

⁴ Scottish Agricultural College, Edinburgh, Scotland, UK.

Received October 8, 2006; Accepted February 7, 2007

fact SeMet fulfils the criteria of an essential amino acid (Schrauzer, 2003; 2006). On the other hand, until recently the supplemental form of selenium for farm animals and poultry has been inorganic, either selenite or selenate. It seems likely that changes in the feed formulation for poultry, pigs and dairy related to usage of the most effective organic selenium in the diets could be a solution for the global Se deficiency. Recent approval by the US Food and Drug Administration of organic selenium in the form of selenized yeast (Sel-Plex[®], Alltech Inc.) for poultry, pigs, cows and pets will resolve the discrepancy between natural and supplemental selenium sources. Indeed, it has been proven that usage of this form of dietary Se supplementation in animal diets substantially improved their Se status, increased productive and reproductive performances and provided an opportunity to produce Se-enriched eggs, meat and milk and in this way to improve the Se status of the general population (Surai, 2006).

SELENIUM IN SOILS AND PLANTS

Selenium (Se) is a chemical element with atomic number 34 and atomic weight 78.96 belonging to group VI of the periodic table of elements. This group also includes such non-metals as sulphur and oxygen. In nature Se exists in two chemical forms, organic and inorganic. In particular, inorganic Se can be found in different minerals in the form of selenite, selenate and selenide as well as in the metallic (Se⁰) form. In contrast, selenium in feed ingredients (forages, grains, oilseed meals, etc.) is an integral part of various organic compounds including amino acids selenomethionine (SeMet) and selenocysteine (SeCys) and exists in the Se⁻² oxidation state. As a result, in nature animals receive Se mainly in the form of SeMet which is considered to be a most effective nutritional form of selenium for animals and human.

The selenium cycle in the food chain of land animals and humans starts from soils and includes plant and animal sources ultimately dependent on its assimilation from the soil. Selenium concentration in soils varies significantly. The Se content of most soils ranges between 0.1 and 2 ppm; and soil Se exists in various forms, including selenides, elemental Se, selenites, selenates and organic Se compounds (Selenium in Nutrition, 1983). High concentrations of Se are found mainly in sedimentary rocks and shales formed during the cretaceous period, while lower concentrations of Se are characteristic for igneous (volcanic) rock, sandstone, granite and limestone (Van Metre and Callan, 2001). Investigations conducted in China indicated that soils developed under tropic and subtropic conditions (laterite, yellow soil and red soil) are characterised by comparatively high Se levels (>0.3 ppm) (Tan et al., 2002). In contrast, the soils developed

under the temperate (warm) steppe and desert conditions (chernozem, chestnut soil, calcic brown soil, desert soil and solonchak) have moderate Se concentrations (0.14-0.30 ppm). Finally, such soils as brown earth, drab soil, dark brown soil, loessial soils, purple soil, red drab soil, developed under the temperate (warm) humid/sub-humid conditions are quite poor in Se.

Furthermore, Se availability to plants depends on many factors including soil pH, the oxidation-reduction potential and mineral composition of the soil, rate of artificial fertilization and rainfall. In fact, the bioavailability of Se in soils for plants depends more on its form than on its total concentration:

- In the case of acidic soils or poor soil aeration, Se can form insoluble complexes with iron hydroxide and become poorly available. For example, at pH 6, only 47% of labelled Se was transferred from soil to ryegrass leaves. Increasing pH to 7 increased Se assimilation to 70% (Haygarth et al., 1995). Indeed, Se in alkaline soils occurs in the selenate form, where it is soluble and easily available to plants.
- Since sulfate competes with selenate for uptake by the sulfate transporter, high soil sulfate decreases Se uptake by plants (Terry et al., 2000). It seems likely that phosphate also competes with Se uptake (Sors et al., 2005). This explains low Se availability from soils following application of certain types of fertilizers.
- Selenium can also be leached from the topsoil in areas of high rainfall. Therefore areas with higher rainfall have lower forage selenium content.
- Solubility is the critical determinant of Se bioavailability to plants and the amount of water-soluble Se in soils varies substantially and does not correlate with total soil Se (Combs and Combs, 1986).
- Selenite is strongly adsorbed by soils while selenate is only weakly absorbed and leaches easily.
- Selenide and elemental Se are usually found in reducing environments and are unavailable to plants and animals.
- Selenite is present in mildly oxidizing, neutral pH environments and typically humid regions, while selenate is the predominant form under ordinary alkaline and oxidized conditions (Goh and Lim, 2004). The authors also showed that the adsorption of selenite and selenate by soils appeared to be influenced by the variable pH-dependent charges on the soil particle surfaces. In particular, phosphate had more profound effects than sulfate on Se adsorption in the soil.
- Application of gypsum (calcium sulfate) to soils decreased Se availability for plants (Selenium in Nutrition, 1983)
- Leaching during the soil development process and

irrigation water decreased Se level in plants (Selenium in Nutrition, 1983)

- Forage Se is reported to be low on sandy soils and lower on mineral upland soils than on organic moorland soils in the British Isles (MacPherson, 2000).
- The main chemical changes under long-term waterlogged conditions are depletion of molecular oxygen, decrease of redox potential, and reduction of Fe (III) to Fe (II) and SeO_3^{2-} to Se^0 . This leads to low availability of Se in soils, and subsequently low Se content (29 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$) in brown rice grain produced in this region of China (Cao et al., 2001). Indeed, selenite binds tightly to iron and aluminium oxides and thus is quite insoluble in soils (Jonnalagadda and Rao, 1993).
- Selenium is transported via the xylem to chloroplasts in leaves where it is processed by the sulphur assimilation pathway into organic compounds. The selenate form is transported more easily from root to shoot than selenite or organic Se (Terry et al., 2000).

After absorption, the distribution of Se in various parts of the plant depends on species, phase of development and physiological conditions. For example, Se distribution was studied in *Astragalus bisulcatus*, an accumulator species capable of accumulating up to 0.65% of its shoot dry biomass as Se (Pickering et al., 2000). It was shown that plants exposed to 5 μM selenate for 28 days contained predominantly selenate in the mature leaf tissue, whereas the young leaves and the roots contained exclusively organic Se. From this work it is clear that the fate of selenate differs with plant tissues and stage of growth. Therefore chemical reduction of selenate to organic Se in plants is tissue-specific, inducible and developmentally dependent. It is likely that selenate reduction is rate-limiting in the conversion of Se to organic forms (Pickering et al., 2000).

PLANTS AS MAJOR SOURCES OF SELENIUM FOR ANIMALS AND HUMAN

The plant absorbs Se from the soil in the form of selenite or selenate and synthesises selenoamino acids with SeMet representing more than 50% of the Se in cereal grains (Olson and Palmer, 1976) and with Se-methylselenomethionine, selenocysteine and Se-methylselenocysteine being the other seleno-compounds found in plants (Brody, 1994). In general, plants can also take up from the soil organic forms of selenium such as SeMet. At present, Se in any form has not been scientifically demonstrated to be an essential nutrient for higher plants. Regardless, SeMet is the major selenocompound in cereal grains, grassland legumes and soybeans (Whanger, 2002). For example, in corn, rice, wheat and soybeans, SeMet

comprises 45.5-82%, 54.9-86.5%, 50.4-81.4% and 62.9-71.8% of total Se, respectively (Yang et al., 1997). Even in wheat grown on seleniferous soils (up to 31 ppm Se), almost half occurred in the form of SeMet (Olson et al., 1970). Similarly, in seleniferous corn and soybeans, SeMet represented more than 80% of total Se. The majority of the Se is present as SeMet in both rice and corn (Beilstein et al., 1991). Wheat is considered to be the most efficient accumulator of Se within the common cereal crops: wheat>rice>maize>barley>oats (Lyons et al., 2003; Broadley et al., 2006). It was shown that SeMet is stored mainly in the grain and the root, while lower concentrations of this amino acid are found in the stems and leaves (Schrauzer, 2003). SeMet was the main Se-containing amino acid identified in most of the extracts of Indian mustard (*Brassicaj uncea*), sunflower (*Helianthus annus*), and white lupine (*Lupinus albus*) (Ximenez-Embun et al., 2004). The variability in results of Se specification investigations of plant material reflects analytical difficulties. For example, by using a SeMet determination based on its reaction with CNBr, it has been shown that wheat samples, though having a 30-fold range in total Se content, all have about 45% of their total Se values in the form of SeMet (Wolf and Goldschmidt, 2004). However, the authors suggested that additional experiments were needed to verify that all selenomethionine in the wheat samples had been accounted for.

It is interesting to note that the richest source of Se for human consumption, Brazil nuts, also contains SeMet as the most abundant selenoamino acid (Vooonderheide et al., 2002). However, organic Se compounds can differ substantially, depending on the plant material analysed and a range of selenocompounds have been detected. Analytical speciation studies showed that the bulk of the Se in Se-garlic and Se-yeast is in the form of gamma-glutamyl-Se-methylselenocysteine (73%) and SeMet (85%), respectively (Ip et al., 2000). Se-methylselenocysteine is the major selenocompound in Se-enriched plants such as garlic, onions, broccoli florets and sprouts, and wild leeks (Whanger, 2002).

SELENIUM ABSORPTION AND METABOLISM

Recent advances in Se biochemistry have provided a deeper understanding of the principal differences in metabolism of the two forms of Se, namely inorganic Se (sodium selenite or selenate) and organic Se (mainly SeMet). Results of various *in vitro* and *in vivo* experiments with a variety of animal species and model systems have demonstrated that SeMet is readily absorbed through the gut. For example, in dogs this process was two times faster than SeCys and four times faster than selenite absorption (Reasbeck et al., 1981). Indeed, SeMet is better absorbed

than selenite (Daniels, 1996). However, absorption is not a limiting factor to bioavailability.

A number of factors influence the bioavailability and distribution of selenium in the body (Thomson, 1998) including:

- chemical form of Se
- other dietary components
- selenium status
- physiological status
- species

Selenite is taken up by red blood cells within several minutes, reduced to selenide by glutathione, and then transported to the plasma, bound selectively to albumin and transferred to the liver (Suzuki and Ogra, 2002). Contrary to selenite, intact selenate is either taken up directly by the liver or excreted into the urine. About 3% of total plasma Se of healthy adults was bound to lipoproteins, mainly to the LDL fraction (Ducros et al., 2000). After solvent fractionation of LDL and HDL, the major part of the Se was recovered in the protein extract, suggesting that it may be incorporated in apolipoproteins. The exact form of Se is not yet clearly established, but considering the different Se compounds found in proteins, it was postulated to be SeMet. The distribution of Se in plasma fractions was investigated in guinea pigs fed various levels (basal, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 4.0, 6.0 and 8.0 mg Se/kg) of dietary SeMet (Gu et al., 1998). There was a corresponding increase of Se concentration in liver, kidney, brain, testis, spleen, heart and muscle with each increase of dietary Se, but glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px) activity did not change in liver, brain, testis, heart or muscle in pigs fed any of the Se levels as compared to controls fed a basal commercial diet. There was a redistribution of Se between various fractions in the blood. For example, on a percentage distribution basis, the Se in selenoprotein P decreased, and that in the albumin fraction increased with increased dietary intakes of Se as SeMet. Similarly, the greatest percentage of Se was in the albumin fraction of Chinese people living in the high Se areas, whereas the greatest amount was in the selenoprotein P fraction in subjects living in Se-deficient areas of China (Gu et al., 1998). Increases in the ratios of Se:albumin in either the plasma or the albumin fraction also occurred with increases of Se intake of these subjects.

The majority of the Se was in the hemoglobin (Hb) fraction in women taking supplemental SeMet, but was about equally distributed between GSH-Px and Hb in women taking selenate (Butler et al., 1991). Therefore, the percentage of Se associated with GSH-Px was found to be greater in erythrocytes and plasma of women taking selenate than of those taking SeMet. About 68% of erythrocyte Se was associated with GSH-Px in monkeys given selenite whereas only 34% was associated with GSH-Px in those administered SeMet (Butler et al., 1990).

Selenium in breast milk occurs as GSH-Px (4-32% total Se) >selenocystamine>selenocystine>selenomethionine (Dorea, 2002). The results of recent study (Okuno et al., 2001) indicated that in mouse liver SeMet was directly metabolized to CH₃SeH by an alpha, gamma-elimination enzyme analogous to bacterial L-methionine gamma-lyase, in addition to the generally accepted pathway via selenocysteine. It has been suggested that L-selenohomocysteine generated from SeMet metabolism can be efficiently recycled to SeMet in mammals (Zhou et al., 2000).

The number of published studies in animals and man suggested that the metabolic fate and physiological function of dietary selenite may differ from that of SeMet or of food Se. It has been postulated that there are two distinct metabolic pools of Se in the body (Daniels, 1996). The main exchangeable metabolic pool includes all forms of Se derived from inorganic selenite/selenide, including endogenously synthesized selenoproteins (e.g. GSH-Px, selenoprotein P, etc.), excretory Se metabolites (trimethylselenium ion) and various other intermediary products of selenite metabolism. This is an active Se pool providing for synthesis of the primary functionally important selenocompounds (Daniels, 1996). The second Se pool consists of SeMet-containing proteins and potentially can contribute to the first pool via participation in selenoprotein synthesis. In fact, Burk et al. (2001) demonstrated that Se from SeMet, but not that from selenate or selenocysteine, can be incorporated into albumin, presumably as SeMet in the methionine pool. In another study, albumin was purified from plasma of a human before and after 28 days of supplementation with 400 µg Se/day as SeMet. It was shown that the albumin contained 1 Se atom, presumably as SeMet, per 8,000 methionine residues before supplementation and 1 per 2,800 after supplementation (Hondal et al., 1999). These findings support the view that SeMet is a non-specific form of Se that is metabolized as a constituent of the methionine pool, where it is randomly distributed, and it is unaffected by specific Se metabolic processes. Therefore SeMet can be considered as a storage form of Se in animals and humans and it is metabolized as a constituent of the methionine pool. In contrast, no evidence was obtained for non-specific incorporation of Se into plasma proteins when it was administered as selenate or as selenocysteine. These forms of the element appear to be metabolized by specific Se metabolic processes (Burk et al., 2001).

The chemical species-specific metabolic pathway for Se was explained by the metabolic regulation through selenide as the assumed common intermediate for the inorganic and organic Se sources and as the checkpoint metabolite between utilization for selenoprotein synthesis and methylation for the excretion of Se (Suzuki and Ogra, 2002).

In particular, organic Se, which can be found in grains, forages and other feed ingredients, is primarily in the form of SeMet and is metabolised in the same way as methionine. It is actively transported through intestinal membranes during absorption and actively accumulated in such tissues as liver and muscle. It is well known that methionine is not synthesised by animals or humans and therefore it is an essential amino acid. The same is true for SeMet, which is not synthesised in animals or humans, and must be derived from feed sources.

The skeletal muscles are the major Se-storage organ, accounting for about 46.9% of the total Se in the human body, while kidney contains only 4% of Se reserves. In humans, whole body Se depends on the geographic location of the person and varies from 3-6 mg up to 13-20 mg. GSH-Px activity and deposition of Se were examined in tissues of rats given dietary Se for 7 weeks as either selenite or SeMet with a ⁷⁵Se radiotracer of the same chemical form (Beilstein and Whanger, 1988). The authors showed that the proportion of ⁷⁵Se as SeMet was higher in tissues of rats fed SeMet (highest in muscle and hemoglobin, 70%, and lowest in testes, 16%). In contrast, selenocysteine was the predominant form of Se present in tissues of rats given selenite. As mentioned above SeMet is considered to be a storage form of Se in the body. Indeed, when organic Se is used in the diet, the Se reserve is built in muscles in the form of SeMet. These reserves can be used in stress conditions, when the Se requirement increases but feed consumption decreases. In stress conditions, protein catabolism by proteasomes can release SeMet, which could serve as a source of Se for newly synthesized selenoproteins, such as GSH-Px, thioredoxin reductase and methionine sulphoxide reductase. Those enzymes can deal with overproduction of free radicals and prevent a decrease in productive and reproductive performance of farm animals. It was proven that Se from both selenite and SeMet are readily available for synthesis of the selenoenzyme GSH peroxidase in rat tissues (Pierce and Tappel, 1977).

There are several lines of evidence confirming the idea that Se accumulates in tissues in the form of SeMet and is available for selenoprotein synthesis.

- First, studies in our laboratory (Surai, 2000; Surai, 2002) indicated that chicks hatched from eggs enriched with Se by means of using Se yeast (Sel-Plex[®]) had higher liver GSH-Px activity not only at hatching, but more importantly, even at 5 days posthatch. More recent observations, with quail and chickens, indicate that when organic Se in the form of Sel-Plex was included in the maternal diet, Se concentration in the liver of the progeny was elevated up to 3 weeks posthatch (Pappas et al., 2005; Surai et al., 2006). This could be explained by usage of SeMet accumulated in tissues as a result of Se transfer from

the egg during embryogenesis.

- Secondly, the bioavailability of the Se pool in maintaining liver GSH-Px activity during a period of Se deprivation, following excess selenite or SeMet loading was assessed in rats (Ip and Hayes, 1989). In this study, half-life of decay of the enzyme was calculated to be 4.2 and 9.1 days, respectively, in rats that had already been exposed to 3 ppm Se as either selenite or SeMet.
- Thirdly, in a human study Persson-Maschos et al. (1998) showed that in individuals who had been supplemented with organic Se, the decline in the level of selenoprotein P following a period of supplementation was slower than in individuals who had been supplemented with selenite.
- Fourthly, when wheat and selenate were used as Se sources in a supplementation study in Finnish men it was shown that once the supplements were withdrawn, platelet GSH-Px activity declined less in the group given wheat Se (Levander et al., 1983).
- Fifthly, after several weeks of supplementation with high-Se bread, plasma Se of New Zealand subjects increased from 50-70 ng/ml to 120-175 ng/ml (Robinson et al., 1985) and remained elevated for some time when supplementation ceased.
- Finally, six adults received a single oral 200 µg dose of ⁷⁴Se as L-SeMet. Average turnover time of the plasma Se compounds varied from 0.01 to 1.1 days and the turnover time in the liver-pancreas subsystem ranged from 1.6 to 3.1 days. On the other hand, turnover time ranged from 61 to 86 days in peripheral tissues with the slowest turnover (Swanson et al., 1991). The whole body residence time was approximately 5-fold greater than the turnover time of the tissue pool with the slowest turnover, reflecting substantial reutilization of labelled material.
- In addition, in SeMet or Se-yeast supplemented mice, liver GSH-Px activities declined more slowly during Se depletion than in mice given selenite (Spallholz and Rafferty, 1987 cited by Schrauzer, 2003).
- Furthermore, in children the relative bioavailability of Se-yeast versus selenite measured as GSH-Px activity was similar in plasma, red blood cells, and platelets, however, Se-yeast provided a longer lasting body pool of Se (Alfthan et al., 2000).

These data are in agreement with the suggestion that SeMet is the major selenocompound initially found in animals given this selenoamino acid, but it is converted with time to selenocysteine when incorporated into functional selenoproteins (Whanger, 2002).

Weanling male rats were fed a basal Se-deficient diet or this diet plus 2 ppm Se as either selenite, SeCys or SeMet for nine weeks (Deagen et al., 1987). Except for the kidney,

the tissue Se concentrations were similar in rats fed selenite or SeCys, but the Se content in testis, muscle, pancreas, heart, spleen, whole blood, erythrocytes and plasma was significantly higher in rats fed SeMet than in those fed either selenite or SeCys. The greatest increase, due to SeMet compared with the selenite and SeCys treatments, was about 10-fold in the muscle compared with 1.3- to 3.6-fold for the other tissues (Deagen et al., 1987). In general SeMet has a slower, whole body turnover in comparison to sodium selenite and there is greater efficiency in the re-utilization of Se from SeMet (Swanson et al., 1991). Indeed, the average whole body half-lives of SeMet and selenite in humans were shown to be 252 and 102 days, respectively, confirming re-utilization of SeMet in the body (Patterson et al., 1989). It should be noted that only a small proportion of the methionine pool can be replaced by SeMet, since only part of methionine could be replaced by SeMet in the diet. Furthermore, protein turnover prevents accumulation of SeMet to toxic levels in the organism (Schrauzer, 2003).

In fact, rapid turnover of various selenoproteins and dependence of this process on Se status were described. For example, the half-life of GSH-Px is approximately 3 days (Sunde et al., 1989), and 2-iodothyronine deiodinase has a half-life of only 30-45 minutes (Curcio et al., 2001; Botero et al., 2002; Kim et al., 2003), while that of selenoprotein P in plasma is 3-4 h (Burk and Hill, 1994). In growth medium there was an increase in TR mRNA levels of 2-5-fold at 1 microM Se and an increase in the stability of TR mRNA with a half-life for degradation of 21 h compared to 10 hrs in the absence of Se (Gallegos et al., 1997). Similarly, the selenoprotein W mRNA half-life in myoblasts is about 57 hrs for cells grown in a low Se medium while Se treatment increased half-life by 2-fold (Gu et al., 2002). Therefore, it is clear that Se reserve development could be an important regulatory mechanism for maintaining an effective antioxidant defence during periods of increased demand. Therefore, from a nutritional viewpoint, SeMet is superior to selenite, especially with respect to maintenance of GSH-Px during periods of Se inadequacy (Ip and Hayes, 1989) or during increased demands for selenoproteins to deal with oxidative stresses.

At physiological levels of Se intake, urine is the most important route of excretion and regulates Se homeostasis (Daniels, 1996). For example, recently a study has been conducted to evaluate the bioavailability of Se from pork in humans (Bugel, 2004). Twelve male volunteers (age 21-30 years) participated in a study with a diet containing 170 g pig meat per day and 106 +/- 13 µg Se/day for three weeks. Complete faecal and urinary collections were made during the last week of each period. The apparent absorption of Se was very high (94+/-2%). Faecal and urinary excretions were 7+/-1 µg/day and 39+/-21 µg/day, respectively, resulting in a retention of 61+/-24 µg/day (Bugel, 2004). At

generous intakes, faecal Se represents mainly unabsorbed dietary Se. Various Se metabolites were found in urine, but only trimethylselenium was well characterised. There is a large body of evidence indicating that urinary Se is lower when organic Se is used in comparison to selenite.

Recently, metabolic pathways of Se in human have been re-evaluated and it has been shown that selenosugar 1 is the major urinary metabolite after increased selenium intake, and it is suggested that previously accepted pathways for human metabolism of selenium involving trimethylselenonium ion as the excretory end product may need to be re-evaluated (Kuehnelt et al., 2005). In the study selenium speciation analysis by HPLC/ICPMS was used on samples of human urine from one volunteer over a 48-hour period after ingestion of selenium (1.0 mg) as sodium selenite, L-selenomethionine, or DL-selenomethionine. The major species in background urine were two selenosugars, namely methyl-2-acetamido-2-deoxy-1-seleno-beta-D-galactopyranoside (selenosugar 1) and its deacylated analog methyl-2-amino-2-deoxy-1-seleno-beta-D-galactopyranoside (selenosugar 3). Indeed, in all experiments, the major metabolite was selenosugar 1, constituting approximately 80% of the total selenium excreted over the first 24 h after ingestion of selenite or L-selenomethionine or approximately 65% after ingestion of DL-selenomethionine. Selenite was not present at significant levels (<1 µg Se/L) in any of the samples; selenomethionine was present in only trace amounts (approximately 1 µg/L) following ingestion of L-selenomethionine, but it constituted about 20% of the excreted selenium in the first 24 h after ingestion of DL-selenomethionine. Trimethylselenonium ion, a commonly reported urine metabolite, could not be detected (<1 µg/L) in the urine samples after ingestion of selenite or selenomethionine (Kuehnelt et al., 2005).

The amount of volatile dimethylselenide (DMSe) in breath has been monitored after ingestion of sub-toxic amounts of selenium (300 µg ⁷⁷Se, as selenite) by a healthy male volunteer (Kremer et al., 2005). Dimethylselenide was the only selenium species detected in breath samples before and after the ingestion of ⁷⁷Se-enriched selenite. It was also shown that the high Se dose led to a significant increase of DMSe and renal excretion of background selenium. These data confirmed the idea that selenium ingested as selenite is homeostatically controlled by excretion. Overall excretion as DMSe was calculated to be 11.2% from the ingested selenite within the first 10 days whereas urinary excretion accounts for nearly 18.5% (Kremer et al., 2005).

SELENIUM-YEAST AS AN EFFECTIVE SUPPLEMENTAL SOURCE OF SELENIUM

Since Se levels in soils vary and Se availability to plants also depends on many factors, the general agricultural

practice in the world includes Se supplementation of diets fed to farm animals and poultry. The FDA first approved Se supplements for poultry and swine in 1974 in the form of selenite or selenate. While the Se form was not rigorously considered in the initial research into Se nutrition, for the last 30 years information has accumulated indicating that the natural form of Se in plant-based feed ingredients consists of various selenoamino acids with SeMet being major form of Se in grains, oil seeds and other important feed ingredients. Therefore, organic Se is the natural form of the element to include in feed formulations. However, sodium selenite remains in use in many animal feeds. The limitations of using inorganic Se are now well known and include toxicity, interactions with other minerals and vitamins, low efficiency of transfer to milk, meat and eggs and an inability to build and maintain Se reserves in the body (Kim and Mahan, 2003). As a result, a high proportion of the element consumed in the inorganic form is simply excreted. Further, a pro-oxidant effect of the selenite ion (Spallholz, 1997) is a great disadvantage, particularly when the shelf life of food animal products is considered.

It is well known that the chemical and physical properties of Se and sulphur are very similar, reflecting similar outer-valence-shell electronic configurations and atomic sizes (Combs and Combs, 1984). Therefore plants cannot distinguish between these two elements when synthesising amino acids. As a result they can synthesize SeMet when Se is available. This biological feature was the basis for the development of the commercial technology of organic Se production from yeast (Sel-Plex, Alltech Inc., USA). Selenium composition in this product closely match that found in most grains with more than 50% of total Se being in the form of SeMet.

Analysis of the protein fraction of Se yeast has shown that Se is present in all the major soluble proteins. SeMet was identified as the major Se-containing compound in the protein fraction as well as in the whole cell (Korhola et al., 1986). Yeast cells can take up Se in the form of selenite or selenate from media and synthesise selenoamino acids. In particular certain strains of yeast are capable of accumulating as much as 3,000 ppm Se in the organic form when the sulphur in the growth medium is replaced by selenium compounds and proper growth conditions are provided (Demirci et al., 1999; Gassner et al., 1999). Definitive, mass spectrometry based evidence has now been provided for the non-specific incorporation of selenomethionine in the yeast proteome involving the replacement of about 30% of all methionine with selenomethionine (McSheehy et al., 2005). The influence of various Se concentrations from organic (SeMet) and inorganic (sodium selenite) Se compounds on growth pattern and cell viability and the alterations in the antioxidant enzyme system of yeast have been evaluated

(Bansal and Kaur, 2002). A continuous decrease in cell and colony-forming units counts was observed with increasing concentrations of Se from either source. Increasing Se status of yeast cells was observed with increasing concentrations of Se with both forms, with a much greater uptake for organic Se at maximum Se concentrations. However, high concentrations of sodium selenite in the culture medium have a strong inhibitory effect on the growth of yeast (Suhajda et al., 2000). Sodium selenite exhibited stronger inhibition on yeast growth than sodium selenate and the ratio of selenium to protein was higher with sodium selenate than with sodium selenite. Recently it has been shown that the synthesis of SeMet in yeast actively takes place in the growth phase (Ponce de Leon et al., 2002).

As mentioned above, SeMet is the major selenocompound in Se-enriched yeast. For example, SeMet in yeast and nuts comprised respectively 65% and 75% of total Se (Wrobel et al., 2003). Similarly, a proteolytic enzyme extract of Se yeast was found to contain Se as SeMet (74.8%), selenocystine (9.9%), selenite (5.1%) and as at least three unknown Se compounds (10.2%, Yoshida et al., 2002). SeMet comprised 79.0% of the extracted selenium and 63.9% of the total selenium present in the yeast (McSheehy et al., 2005a). Similarly, the concentration of SeMet measured in the yeast was equivalent to 66.43 \pm 0.24% of total Se and 30.31 \pm 0.11% of total Met is in the form of SeMet (Yang et al., 2004). SeMet comprised about 85% of total Se compounds found in selenized yeast used for human trials (Ip et al., 2000). Similarly selenized yeasts, which were used as a source of Se in the trial called PRECISE and other trials, contained SeMet at 54-60% of the total selenium (Larsen et al., 2004). A commercial source of Se-enriched yeast tablets containing 210 μ g Se/g was found to contain 73% of the total Se as SeMet (Wolf et al., 2001). It has recently been demonstrated that more than 80% of selenium in the selenized yeast is present in the form of selenomethionine and it has been suggested that many results reported elsewhere for the concentration of this vital amino acid in selenized yeast may be negatively biased (Polatajko et al., 2005).

It seems likely that the selenoamino acid composition of the yeast depends on various factors, including yeast species, growth conditions as well as the analytical techniques used. For example, recently three different commercial yeast products were analysed. Results showed that the proportion of water-soluble Se varied from 11.5% up to 28.0% and the water insoluble polysaccharide bound Se proportion varied from 15.5% up to 72% (Encinar et al., 2003). This suggests that not all yeast products are the same and results obtained in studies with one product cannot be generalized to all yeasts. The technologies used for Se-yeast production could substantially vary and therefore, final product composition and quality could also be quite

different. For example, in a recent publication from China results of the analysis of a tablet obtained from a local drugstore were presented indicating the presence of SeCys (25 µg/g), Selenite (1.3 µg/g) and SeMet (3.2 µg/g) (Liang et al., 2006). Therefore, that particular so called Se-Yeast supplement contained mainly SeCys and probably was not a Se-yeast product. Furthermore, analytical difficulties of SeMet analysis could substantially affect final results of the analysis. For example, recently it has been shown that by employing various techniques of sample digestion, SeMet recovery was in a range of only 49-76% (Hinojosa Reyes et al., 2006). Both acidic and enzymatic hydrolysis, has been widely used for the extraction of protein-bound selenoamino acids in selenized yeast. In particular, the *in vitro* gastrointestinal digestion of selenized yeast allows the Se recovery of 89±3% of the total Se present, but only 41±2% of it is free SeMet (Reyes et al., 2006). In general, Se extraction with such treatments is quite effective allowing recoveries as high as 85-95% (Larsen et al., 2001; Polatajko et al., 2005) and the main Se-species, as observed by HPLC-ICP-MS and ESI MS/MS, was again SeMet accounting for up to 70-76% of the total Se (Larsen et al., 2001).

When selecting a Se supplement, another important consideration is composition of organic Se compounds in the supplement. While SeMet represents the dominant Se form in Se-enriched yeast, each yeast has a unique combination of organic Se compounds which must be considered when beneficial effects from organic Se are expected. This means that SeMet alone could sometimes be less effective than Se-enriched yeast. For example, in mice high-Se yeast caused the largest increase of GSH-Px activity followed by sodium selenite and SeMet (Bergman and Slanina, 1986). Furthermore, SeMet in purified form is unstable and easily oxidised. For example, recently it has been shown that in freeze-dried samples of oyster the total Se and the Se species evaluated were stable for at least 12 months, under all the conditions tested. However, after purification of Se species, including SeMet, in the enzymatic extracts they were only stable for 10 days if stored at 4°C in Pyrex containers (Moreno et al., 2002). In contrast, SeMet is quite stable in the yeast. Indeed, analysis of high-Se yeast stored at room temperature for more than 10 years showed SeMet as the major Se product (Block et al., 2004). Furthermore, the shelf life of Se yeast at 25°C, predicted from the Arrhenius plot, exceeded 1,126 days (Szulc et al., 2003).

Se-Yeasts have been characterised by comparatively high Se availability. For example, the bioavailability of Se in Se yeast, as assessed by slope-ratio analysis using selenite as a reference Se in rats, was 135% to 165% in the tissue Se content and 105% to 197% in the GSH-Px activities (Yoshida et al., 1999). Indeed, Se in Se yeast is

more bioavailable than selenite Se, and therefore is the preferred form for supplementation. Similarly, it was shown that the bioavailability of Se in the form of yeast is higher than that of other Se compounds used for preterm infants (Bogye et al., 1998). Utilization (absorption, retention and appearance in milk and blood) of two different chemical forms of Se (selenite and SeMet) in lactating, non-lactating and never pregnant women, using stable isotope tracers was studied. It was shown that significantly more Se from SeMet than from selenite was absorbed and appeared in the plasma in all groups. Milk contained more Se most likely from absorbed SeMet than from selenite. All groups retained significantly more Se from SeMet than from selenite (Moser-Veillon et al., 1992). It has been shown that SeMet-Se was more effective than selenite in raising plasma and erythrocyte Se in men (Luo et al., 1985).

The most common dietary supplement form of Se for humans is Se-enriched yeast. The development and commercial application of Sel-Plex with a guaranteed composition and evidence from research and commercial trials opens a new era in animal nutrition providing opportunities not only for the improvement of animal health and productivity but also for production of Se-enriched meat, milk, eggs and other foods considered to be important steps in improvement of human diets. Indeed, a comparison between Sel-Plex and selenite, based on published data (Surai, 2006), clearly showed advantages of the natural form of Se in comparison to selenite (Table 1). Indeed sodium selenite has a range of properties, which are not shared by other forms of selenium. Therefore, it seems appropriate that selenite be considered as a drug and should be used accordingly. For example, when Se deficiency is diagnosed based on clinical signs, selenite would be the preparation of the choice. Using it via feed, water or injection will solve the short-term or acute problem and this has been demonstrated under various experimental conditions with chickens, pigs and cattle. However, when the goal is to meet the physiological requirements of the animals in order to maintain a high productive and reproductive performance, optimum food animal product quality and immunocompetence, a Se supplement such as Se-yeast supplies the needs of the tissue reserves.

A fascinating part of Se-related research comes from understanding the principal difference between various Se sources in the diet. The digestive system of animals, including birds, adapted to metabolise organic Se from plant-based feedstuffs during evolution. Therefore, inclusion of selenite or selenate in the diet is not the 'natural' situation and the differences in assimilation, distribution and accumulation of Se in tissues depend on the source of Se. Furthermore, SeMet itself possesses antioxidant properties, which could be beneficial during digestion. In contrast, selenite is a prooxidant and in

Table 1. Major differences between organic selenium (Se-yeast) and selenite (Adapted from Surai, 2006)

| | Organic selenium | Selenite |
|--|---|---|
| Absorption | Similar to Methionine with active transport in the gut | Similar to other minerals with passive transport in the gut |
| Accumulation | Building Se reserves by non-specific incorporation of SeMet into the proteins | Not accumulated in the body |
| Toxicity | At least 3 times less toxic than selenite | Highly toxic, can penetrate via skin causing problems |
| Bioavailability | Higher bioavailability in comparison to selenite to animals and humans | Very low availability for ruminants due to reduction by rumen microbes |
| Antioxidant activity | SeMet possess antioxidant properties per se and could scavenge NO and other radicals | Possesses pro-oxidant properties and could stimulate free radical production when reacting with GSH |
| Effect on DNA | SeMet stimulate DNA-repair enzymes | Selenite can cause DNA damage |
| Transfer to eggs, milk and meat | Transferred to eggs, milk and meat giving a possibility to produce designer/ functional foods | Poorly transferred to eggs, milk and meat |
| Transfer via placenta | Better transferred via placenta than selenite | Poorly transferred via placenta |
| Reactions with other elements | Neutral, ascorbic acid promotes SeMet assimilation from the diet | Highly reactive, reduced to metallic, unavailable selenium by ascorbic acid |
| Protective effect in stress conditions | Provides additional protection due to Se reserves in the body | Cannot provide additional protection due to absence of Se reserves in the body |
| Effect on drip loss | Did not affect drip loss | Increases drip loss |
| Environmental issues | Better retention in tissues, less released with faeces and urine | Low retention in tissues and high release with faeces and urine |
| Stability during storage and feed processing | Stable | Stable |
| Classification based on the mode of action | Feed additive | Drug (Surai, 2006) |

combination with iron and zinc could potentially stimulate lipid peroxidation and cause damage to enterocytes and as a result decrease absorption efficiency of various nutrients, including antioxidants. In addition, the natural form of Se, selenomethionine, contributes to Se tissue reserves thereby providing a better chance for animals to respond to stress conditions by synthesizing additional selenoproteins. However, most of the Se-related research in food animals was until recently conducted using inorganic Se. Therefore much of the data related to effects of Se on various physiological processes and on the productive and reproductive performance of animals needs to be re-evaluated using natural sources of Se. Indeed, more research should be carried out with organic sources of Se in order to better understand and exploit its physiological role and to solve Se deficiencies as a cause of numerous pathological conditions in human and animals.

SELENIUM FOR POULTRY

It is quite clear that the roles of Se in avian nutrition and reproduction need new consideration in light of our current and better understanding of molecular mechanisms of Se action at the cellular and sub-cellular levels. In particular, discovery and characterisation of a range of new

selenoproteins, better understanding of relationships between different antioxidants as important parts of integrated antioxidant system with possibilities for antioxidant recycling *in vivo* have yielded new insights in this matter.

The data accumulated over the past few years indicate that organic selenium is a choice for diets designed to maintain a high productive and reproductive performance of poultry (Table 2). In particular, replacement of sodium selenite by organic selenium in the form of Se-Yeast (Sel-Plex) in the breeder diet is related to an improvement of fertility, hatchability and viability of chicks in early postnatal development. Indeed, organic selenium is more effectively transferred from the diet to the egg and further to the developing embryo. This improves antioxidant defences and helps chickens overcome the oxidative stress of hatching, leading to improvement of hatchability. Data are accumulating showing similar positive effects of organic Se on goose, turkey and guinea fowl reproduction (Surai, 2006). It is well known that when chickens are hatched many physiological systems, including the immune system, are not mature and continue to develop at least 2 weeks posthatch. Therefore this is the most vulnerable period of ontogenesis of the chicks. Data indicate that Se transferred from the egg to the embryo as a result of organic Se

Table 2. Advances of organic selenium for poultry

| Parameter | Effect of organic vs. inorganic selenium | References |
|---|--|--|
| Chicken sperm morphology | Improved | Edens, 2002; Edens and Sefton, 2003 |
| Duration of fertility | Improved | Agate et al., 2000 |
| Fertility | Improved | Edens, 2002 |
| Hatchability | Improved | Edens, 2002; Edens and Sefton, 2003 |
| Egg production of breeders | Increased | Renema, 2004 |
| Chicken early mortality | Decreased | Lanning et al., 2000 |
| Se transfer to the egg | Improved | Paton et al., 2002; Cantor et al., 2003 |
| Chicken feathering | Improved | Edens, 1996; 1997; 2001; 2002 |
| FCR in broilers | Improved | Naylor et al., 2000; Edens, 2001; Edens and Gowdy, 2004 |
| Chicken growth | Improved | Vlahovic et al., 1998; Edens, 2001; Stolic et al., 2002; Ancuti et al., 2004; Edens and Gowdy, 2004; Srimongkol et al., 2004 |
| Eviscerated weight and breast yield in broilers | Improved | Naylor, 2000 |
| Drip loss | Decreased | Edens, 1996; Naylor et al., 2000 |
| Lipid peroxidation in chicken meat | Decreased | Surai and Dvorska, 2002; 2002a |
| Chicken growth in stress conditions | Improved | Edens, 2001 |
| Negative effects of heat stress for chicken | Decreased | Mahmoud and Edens, 2003 |
| Ascites | Decreased | Roch et al., 2000 |
| Performance of laying hens | Improved | Pan and Rutz, 2003 |
| Egg freshness during storage | Improved | Wakebe, 1999; Pan and Rutz, 2003 |
| Egg shell quality | Improved | Klecker et al., 1997, 2001; Paton and Cantor, 2000; Rutz et al., 2003 |
| Chicks/hen housed | Increased | Edens and Sefton, 2003; Rutz et al., 2003; Sefton and Edens, 2004c |
| Se-enriched egg and chicken production | Effective | Yaroshenko et al., 2003; 2003a; 2004 |
| Se-enriched turkey meat production | Effective | Sims et al., 2003 |
| Toxicity | Less toxic at high doses | Gowdy et al., 2003 (Surai, 2006) |

supplementation of the maternal diet had positive effect on the Se status of the developing chicks up to 4 weeks posthatch (Pappas et al., 2005; Surai et al., 2006).

Advantages of organic selenium for commercial laying hens are related to better shell quality and improvement of egg production. Data on Se content in the shell and possibility of its manipulation by inclusion of organic Se in the laying hen diet are a background for further research (Surai et al., 2006). Indeed, it is well recognised that eggshell consists of about 95% of minerals and 5% organic matrix. Recent evidence indicates that the organic matrix is responsible for regulation of crystal formation in the developing shell. This means that 5% of the organic matrix determines shell quality. Since organic Se is an integral part of the organic matrix it was suggested that it could affect shell quality and information is accumulating to substantiate this claim. The second advantage of organic selenium for laying hens is related to egg production maintenance at the peak of production. The problem is that even low stresses in a commercial egg production facility could affect peak egg production. Once egg production is decreased it is almost impossible to return it to the original level. Since Se provides additional antioxidant protection, this could help to overcome those small stresses and maintain high egg

production at the peak. An additional benefit of organic Se for commercial layers is related to egg freshness during storage. Indeed organic selenium transferred from the diet to the egg, stimulates GSH-Px in the egg yolk, in the white and probably in the perivitelline membrane, leading to decreased lipid and protein oxidation and helping to maintain Hough units at a high level during egg storage.

Advantages of organic Se for broilers include improvement of growth rate, feed conversion ratio (FCR), decreased mortality and decreased drip loss during meat storage (Choct and Naylor, 2004). This could be related to antioxidant Se action, activation of thyroid hormones, as well as an improvement in immunity. Indeed, it is very expensive to maintain an activated immune system. Many nutrients are distributed from growth and development to the immune system. Therefore the immunomodulating properties of Se (Song et al., 2006; Surai, 2006) could help the broiler use the nutrients properly and avoid losing them due to an unnecessary stimulated immune system.

SELENIUM FOR PIGS

The main problem of newly born piglets is low efficiency of antioxidant defences. Indeed, the placenta

restricts antioxidant (e.g. vitamin E and selenium) transfers from the sow to the piglet. Therefore, increased Se transfer via placenta, colostrum and milk would improve the antioxidant defences of the piglets and would be beneficial for the piglet's general health. It is well established that a low-Se maternal diet is a risk factor for the sow and the developing pig embryo.

In the experiments conducted by Mahan (2000) six dietary treatments were used in a 2×2 factorial arrangement with two additional treatments. Inorganic (sodium selenite) or organic (Sel-Plex) Se sources were added to the diet at 0.15 or 0.30 ppm Se. A non-Se-fortified corn-soybean meal basal diet served as a negative control, and a sixth group was fed 0.15 ppm Se from both inorganic and organic Se sources. A total of 43 sows were fed their treatment diets at 2.2 kg/day from 6 day pre-partum to parturition and at full feed through a 14 day lactation period. The major results can be summarised as follows:

- Firstly, it was concluded that Se dietary supplementation is an important means to maintain the antioxidant defences of sows. For example, when the basal diet was fed, sow serum GSH-Px activity declined from 6 day prepartum and remained low throughout lactation (Mahan, 2000). Therefore, inclusion of selenium into the sow's diet caused an increase in sow serum Se concentration and serum GSH-Px activity at both 7 and 14 days postpartum.
- Secondly, it was confirmed that colostrum is an important source of selenium for newly born piglets. However, selenium transfer to the colostrum was minimal if it was added to the sow's diet in the form of sodium selenite. Indeed, the short-term feeding of selenite at 0.15 or 0.30 ppm Se did not affect colostrum Se content (Mahan, 2000). In contrast, inclusion of Sel-Plex into sow's diet significantly increased the Se content of colostrum.
- Thirdly, a positive effect of organic selenium was observed in relation to Se concentration in the milk. For example, milk Se at 7 and 14 d postpartum was 2.5 to 3 times higher when the organic Se source was provided.
- Fourthly, low efficiency of selenite transfer to colostrum and milk was confirmed by using a combination of inorganic and organic Se at 0.15 ppm Se. Indeed, colostrum and milk Se contents were similar to those of sows fed 0.15 ppm Se from the organic Se source (Mahan, 2000). It seems likely that Se in the colostrum and milk is present in an organic form and selenomethionine represents a substantial proportion of those forms. Since SeMet is not synthesised in the animal's body, only when organic selenium was included in the sow's diet was Se

concentration in colostrum and milk substantially increased.

- Furthermore, organic selenium in the maternal diet was also effective in increasing the Se concentration in the serum of piglets at 7 and 14 days of age.

When sodium selenite or Sel-Plex at doses 0.1 and 0.3 ppm were fed to first-parity gilts, starting approximately 60 days before breeding until weaning at 21 days, it was shown that organic selenium had the following advantages in comparison to selenite (Mahan and Kim, 1996):

- more effectively transferred via placenta resulting in higher Se content in loin and liver in the neonate piglet;
- more efficiently transferred to the milk;
- more efficiently maintained Se status of the developing piglet until weaning resulting in higher Se concentration in weaning pig loin.
- In general, it was shown that an increased Se supplementation from 0.1 to 0.3 ppm with both Se sources was related to increased Se concentration in neonatal loin, milk and weaning pig loin, with organic selenium being more efficient. As parities progressed, sow milk Se concentration decreased when the diet was supplemented with sodium selenite (Mahan, 1991; 1994; Mahan and Peters, 2004).

Recently, maternal effects of selenium on piglets was characterized in great detail (Mahan and Peters, 2004). Indeed, Se from organic sources (Sel-Plex) was more effectively transferred to colostrum, milk and sow hair, and a combination of organic and inorganic selenium was not effective in increasing the Se content of colostrum and milk. At 0.3 ppm dietary supplementation, Se levels in the liver, loin and pancreas of the sows were substantially higher when organic selenium was used in the diet. Similarly, in neonate pig liver and loin, Se concentration was twice as high as in piglets from sows supplemented with selenite. Furthermore, the total Se content in neonate piglets was doubled when selenized yeast in the form of Sel-Plex was used in sow's diet (Mahan and Peters, 2004). It is interesting to note that sodium selenite fed to sows had some detrimental effects on piglets. The percentage of piglets with spray legs and stillborn piglets was increased by selenite supplementation of the maternal diet. In contrast, under the same conditions, organic selenium had protective effects (Mahan and Peters, 2004). It could well be that the prooxidant properties of selenite are responsible for these detrimental changes in the sow's progeny.

Recently published data of experiments conducted under commercial conditions in Iowa (USA) confirmed the positive effect of organic selenium in the form of Sel-Plex on sows and piglets. Substitution of inorganic selenium in diets fed commercial sows with Sel-Plex (0.3 ppm added

Table 3. Advances of organic selenium for pigs (Adapted from Surai, 2006)

| Parameter | Effect of organic vs. inorganic selenium | References |
|---|--|--|
| Serum, liver, colostrum and milk selenium | Increased | Kim and Mahan, 2001, Mahan, 2000, Mahan and Peters, 2004 |
| Toxicity | Less toxic | Kim and Mahan, 2001a; 2001b |
| Tissue Se concentration | Increased | Mahan et al., 1999 |
| Drip loss | Decreased | Mahan et al., 1999 |
| Meat colour | Improved | Mahan et al., 1999 |
| Liver Se | Increased | Ortman and Pehrson, 1998 |
| Blood Se | Increased | Ortman and Pehrson, 1998 |
| Weanling pig loin Se | Increased | Mahan and Kim, 1996 |
| Placental Se transfer | Increased | Mahan and Kim, 1996 |
| Se transfer to the fetus and status at birth | Improved | Mahan and Kim, 1996 Mahan and Jacques, 1998 |
| Total Se in neonate | Increased | Mahan and Peters, 2004 |
| Gilt tissue Se level | Increased | Mahan and Kim, 1996 |
| Muscle Se level | Increased | Mahan and Parrett, 1996 |
| Se excretion | Decreased | Mahan and Parrett, 1996 |
| Backfat depths | Decreased | Wolter et al., 1999 |
| Loin-eye area | Increased | Miller et al., 1997; Wolter et al., 1999 |
| Se bioavailability in sow milk to the nursing pig | Increased | Mahan, 1996 |
| Piglet weight at birth and weaning and daily gain pre-weaning | Increased | Janyk et al., 1998; Janyk, 2001; Pineda et al., 2004 |
| Total piglet born and piglet born alive | Increased | Pineda et al., 2004 |
| Pre-weaning mortality | Reduced | Janyk et al., 1998, Janyk, 2001; Close, 2003; Lampe et al., 2005 |
| Piglet survivability in the nursery | Increased | Lampe et al., 2005a |
| Number of stillbirths | Decreased | Mahan and Peters, 2004 |
| Splay legs and stillborn | Decreased | Mahan and Peters, 2004 |
| Growth rate | Increased | Janyk et al., 1998, Janyk, 2001; Bobcek et al., 2004 |
| FCR | Improved | Bobcek et al., 2004 (Surai, 2006) |

Se) resulted in more piglets weaned and with a lower pre-weaning mortality (9.76 vs. 11.3%; Gourley et al., 2005). Furthermore, culls were reduced in nursery pigs weaned from sows given organic selenium. Therefore, the authors concluded that in commercial production, prewean piglet survivability and piglet survivability in the nursery can be enhanced when Sel-Plex replaces sodium selenite as a dietary selenium source in the sow.

Therefore, there is no need for sodium selenite to be a part of the premixes for pigs and sows and replacement of sodium selenite by organic selenium in the form of Se-yeast was shown to be highly beneficial (Table 3).

SELENIUM FOR RUMINANTS

Selenium nutrition of ruminants has some important features, which create specific problems in the dairy and beef industries. In particular, in many places in the world the Se levels in feed ingredients are not adequate to meet the high Se demand of growing, reproducing and lactating animals. The common practise of dietary Se supplementation

in an inorganic form has proved to be of low efficiency. Thus in many cases veterinarians are trying to correct problems of inadequate nutrition and Se injections are still a common practise in the dairy industry. Indeed, part of the selenite consumed is reduced to metallic Se or selenide by rumen bacteria and both of these compounds are not available for further metabolism. The second part of selenite is incorporated into proteins synthesised by the rumen bacteria and it seems likely that Se is also of low availability for animals (Surai, 2006). The replacement of sodium selenite by organic Se sources, in particular, by selenized yeast in the form of Sel-Plex, has been proven to be an effective means of solving Se problems in the dairy, beef and sheep industries. The data accumulated over the past 10 years clearly indicate advantages of such replacement (Table 4). This includes increased Se concentration in blood and GSH-Px activity, approximately doubled Se concentration in colostrum and milk, higher Se transfer via placenta. As a result, cows' health is improved with lower somatic cell counts, decreased mastitis and retained placenta and improved conception rates. The

Table 4. Advances of organic selenium for ruminants

| Parameter | Effect of organic vs. inorganic selenium | References |
|---|--|--|
| Drip loss of beef | Decreased | Simek et al., 2002 |
| Se in cow plasma | Increased | Pehrson et al., 1999; Hemken et al., 1998 |
| Se in cow milk | Increased | Conrad and Moxon, 1979; Malbe et al., 1995; Ortman and Pehrson, 1997; Knowles et al., 1999; Ortman and Pehrson, 1999; Pehrson et al., 1999 |
| Se in cow colostrum | Increased | Harrison et al., 2005 |
| Se in whole blood of calves | Increased | Pehrson et al., 1999 |
| Se in plasma of calves | Increased | Pehrson et al., 1999 |
| Se in whole blood of calves | Increased | Gunter et al., 2003 |
| GSH-Px in erythrocytes of calves | Increased | Pehrson et al., 1999 |
| Se in cow whole blood | Increased | Fisher et al., 1995; Malbe et al., 1995; Awadeh et al., 1998a; Hemken et al., 1998; Knowles et al., 1999; Gunter et al., 2003; |
| Se in whole blood of calves at birth | Increased | Gunter et al., 2003 |
| Se in cow blood, liver and milk | Increased | Valle et al., 2003; Harrison et al., 2005a |
| Se in cow serum | Increased | Fisher et al., 1995 |
| Se in calve liver | Increased | Valle, 2001 |
| GSH-Px in erythrocytes of yearling heifers and cows | Increased | Pehrson et al., 1989 |
| GSH-Px in whole blood of cows | Increased | Malbe et al., 1995 |
| GSH-Px in erythrocytes of calves at birth | Increased | Gunter et al., 2003 |
| Se in goat milk, plasma and whole blood | Increased | Khaled and Illek, 1999 |
| GSH-Px in whole blood of goats | Increased | Khaled and Illek, 1999 |
| Casein selenium | Increased | Knowles et al., 1999 |
| Triiodothyronine (T3) in plasma of calves at birth | Increased | Awadeh et al., 1998 |
| IgM in cow serum | Increased | Awadeh et al., 1998 |
| Proportion of Se in serum albumin fraction | Decreased | Awadeh et al., 1998a |
| Se in skeletal muscles of lambs | Increased | Ehlig et al., 1967 |
| Se in skeletal muscles of cows and calves | Increased | Ortman and Pehrson, 1997; Pavlata et al., 2001 |
| Urinary Se excretion in lambs | Decreased | Ehlig et al., 1967 |
| Urinary Se excretion in goats | Decreased | Aspila, 1991 |
| Se in bull muscles | Increased | Ekholm et al., 1991 |
| Daily gains in calves | Increased | Valle, 2001 |
| Somatic cell counts | Decreased | McIntosh and Royle, 2002; Diaz et al., 2004; Foltys et al., 2004; Elliott et al., 2005; Harrison et al., 2005b |
| Retained placenta | Reduced | Erokhin and Nikonov, 2001; Huang Zhi Jian et al., 2002; Elliott et al., 2005 |
| Postpartum endometritis morbidity | Decreased | Erokhin and Nikonov, 2001; Elliott et al., 2005 |
| Services per conception | Decreased | Erokhin and Nikonov, 2001; Huang Zhi Jian et al., 2002; Elliott et al., 2005 |
| Nutritional muscular degeneration in nursing calves | Decreased | Pehrson, 2004 (Surai, 2006) |

benefit to the newly born calves is coming from improvements in their antioxidant defences and thermoregulation leading to better immunity, viability and lower mortality during first months of the postnatal development. Similar to monogastric animals, when organic selenium is used ruminants can also build Se reserves in their tissues, in particular in muscles, and these reserves can be effectively used by animals in stress conditions, when Se requirement is increasing, while feed consumption is declining.

SELENIUM-ENRICHED EGGS, MEAT AND MILK

Since the selenium content in plant-based food depends on its availability from soil, the level of this element in human (or food animal) foods varies among regions. In general eggs and meat are considered to be good sources of Se in the human diet. When considering ways to improve human selenium intake, there are several potential options. These include:

- direct supplementation

Table 5. Some examples of Se-enriched eggs produced in various countries

| Trade name | Countries |
|--|--|
| Columbus | UK, Belgium, Netherlands, France, Spain, USA, Japan, South Africa, India, Israel, Korea, Australia |
| Origin | Northern Ireland |
| Mega-eggs | Ireland |
| Vita-eggs | UK |
| NutriPlus | Malaysia |
| LTK omega plus | Malaysia |
| Selenium plus | Malaysia |
| TPC egg with organic selenium | Malaysia |
| Selen egg | Thailand |
| Doctor hen egg | Thailand |
| Bounty eggs | Philippines |
| Organic selenium egg | Singapore |
| Bon egg | Columbia |
| Mr egg | Mexico |
| Heart beat eggs | New Zealand |
| Tavas yumurta | Turkey |
| Seker yumurta | Turkey |
| Selenyum eggs | Turkey |
| SelPlex eggs | Switzerland |
| NutriPlus | Portugal |
| Omega pluss | Hungary |
| Vi omega-3 | Greece |
| Splepacich vajec eggs | Slovakia |
| Bag of life (Koshik zhitja) | Ukraine |
| Spring of life (Dzherelo zhitja) | Ukraine |
| Rejuvenating (Molodiljnije) | Russia |
| Aksais' sun (Aksaiskoye solnishko) | Russia |
| Spring of cheerfulness (Rodnik bodrosti) | Russia |
| Universal (vSELENSkoye) | Russia |
| Cossack village egg (Stanichnije) | Russia |
| Mettlesome eggs (Molodetskoye) | Belarus |

(Surai, 2006; 2006a)

- soil fertilisation
- supplementation of food staples such as flour
- production of Se-enriched functional foods.

• It seems likely that a fourth strategy, production of 'functional foods' enriched with selenium, deserves more attention (Surai, 2000; 2002; 2006; 2006a). Indeed, analysis of the current literature indicates that an enrichment of eggs, meat and milk with Se is a valuable option to improve the Se status of the general population. Such eggs are currently being produced in more than 25 countries worldwide, delivering approximately 50% RDA in Se with a single egg (Table 5). There are also various other combinations of egg enrichment, including omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs), vitamin E, carotenoids, iodine, etc. An example of a very successful production and marketing effort of Se, vitamin E and omega-3 enriched eggs is Columbus eggs

which are sold in many countries worldwide. Commercial technologies of the production of Se-meat and Se-milk are under the development in various countries (Surai, 2006).

It has been suggested that for the past 150 years our diet has changed substantially, while our genes have not been changed. In particular, animal-derived food composition has been dramatically changed as a result of using inexpensive feed ingredients in animal diets. The meat from animals in the wild and chicken eggs produced under completely natural conditions contains higher amounts of omega-3 fatty acids compared to cultivated ones. Indeed, decreased Se levels in feeds and foods, in many cases, reflect consequences of our agricultural practices. Therefore, eggs or meat produced by free-range poultry/animals fed on natural feed sources, grown on well-balanced soils 100-200 years ago, would contain a much higher Se concentration than we currently have in many European and Asian countries. Again, by supplementing the animal's diet with natural organic sources of Se we are returning back to nature. Recent data on the Se profile of eggs from various avian species in the wild has confirmed this idea. The Se concentration in eggs collected from the wild, in many cases contained much higher levels than what is observed in commercial poultry production (Pappas et al., 2006). The Se level in the chicken eggs, even after organic Se supplementation (Surai, 2000), only raised the yolk Se level into the lower end of the range achieved by avian species in the wild, suggesting there may be scope for much higher levels of supplementation for poultry. It seems likely that Se levels which are considered to be the norm for commercial eggs will be too low to be meet physiological requirements and this should be studied in more detail in the future.

Therefore Se-enrichment of eggs, meat and milk is simply the production of naturally-designed food ingredients. Indeed, production and commercialisation of such organic Se sources such as selenized yeast (for example Sel-Plex) opened a new era in Se supplementation of animals and has provided an opportunity for producers to meet the growing requirements of the consumer. Plus, production of these kinds of animal-derived foodstuffs is a natural way to health promotion.

It is indeed possible to provide consumers with a range of animal-derived products with nutritionally modified composition in such a way that they can deliver substantial amounts of health-promoting nutrients, such as selenium, to improve the general diet and to help maintain good health. Therefore, without the changing habits and traditions of various populations, it is possible to solve problems related to the deficiency of various nutrients, in particular selenium. The consumer will go to the same supermarket to buy the same animal-derived products (egg, milk and meat), cook and consume them as usual. The only difference will be in

the amount of specific nutrients delivered with such products.

CONCLUSIONS

The analysis of the literature presented above, reinforces the importance of Se in animal and human nutrition and health. Indeed, the global Se inadequacy is responsible for an increased susceptibility to various diseases, including major modern killers such as cancer and cardio-vascular diseases. Optimisation of Se nutrition of poultry and farm animals will result in increased efficiency of egg, meat and milk production and even more important, will improve quality. From the data presented above it is clear that the main lesson which we have to learn from nature is how to use organic selenium in animal and human diets. Sel-Plex is the result of such a lesson and it is just a matter of time before animal nutrition moves completely from using ineffective sodium selenite to organic selenium. Other lessons from nature will follow. Recent advances in genomics and proteomics, in association with descriptions of new selenoproteins, will be a driving force in reconsidering old approaches related to Se nutrition. Probably 90% of all Se research has been conducted with sodium selenite and we now understand that the natural form of selenium is different. The main advances in Se status assessment and Se requirements were established based on the activity of GSH-Px, an enzyme which for many years was considered to be the main selenoproteins. Recently, it was discovered that it is only one of at least 25 various selenoproteins. Se research and practical applications are developing quickly and they are very exciting and promising.

REFERENCES

- Agate, D. D., E. E. O'Dea and M. E. Rustad. 2000. Effects of dietary selenium on laying hen fertility as assessed by the perivitelline sperm hole assay. *Proceedings of the Poultry Research and Production Symposium, Alberta Poultry Research Centre*, pp. 1-4.
- Alfthan, G., G. L. Xu, W. H. Tan, A. Aro, J. Wu, Y. X. Yang, W. S. Liang, W. L. Xue and L. H. Kong. 2000. Selenium supplementation of children in a selenium-deficient area in China: blood selenium levels and glutathione peroxidase activities. *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.* 73:113-125.
- Anciuti, M. A., F. Rutz, L. A. Da Silva, R. C. Cosenza and R. G. Da Silva. 2004. Effect of replacement of dietary inorganic by organic selenium (Sel-Plex) on performance of broilers. *Nutritional Biotechnology in the Feed and Food Industry. Proceedings of the 20th Annual Symposium (Suppl. 1), May 22-26, 2004, Lexington, Kentucky, USA*, p. 14.
- Aspila, P. 1991. Metabolism of selenite, selenomethionine and feed-incorporated selenium in lactating goats and dairy cows. *J. Agric. Sci. Finland.* 63:1-74.
- Awadeh, F. T., R. L. Kincaid and K. A. Johnson. 1998. Effect of level and source of dietary selenium on concentrations of thyroid hormones and immunoglobulins in beef cows and calves. *J. Anim. Sci.* 76:1204-1215.
- Awadeh, F. T., M. M. Abdelrahman, R. L. Kincaid and J. W. Finley. 1998a. Effect of selenium supplements on the distribution of selenium among serum proteins in cattle. *J. Dairy Sci.* 81:1089-1094.
- Bansal, M. P. and T. Kaur. 2002. Growth characteristics and selenium status changes of yeast cells with inorganic and organic selenium supplementation: selenium, a chemopreventive agent. *J. Med. Food.* 5:85-90.
- Beilstein, M. A. and P. W. Whanger. 1988. Glutathione peroxidase activity and chemical forms of selenium in tissues of rats given selenite or selenomethionine. *J. Inorg. Biochem.* 33:31-46.
- Beilstein, M. A., P. D. Whanger and G. Q. Yang. 1991. Chemical forms of selenium in corn and rice grown in a high selenium area of China. *Biomed. Environ. Sci.* 4:392-398.
- Bergman, K. and P. Slanina. 1986. Effects of dietary selenium compounds on benzo (a)-pyrene-induced forestomach tumours and whole-blood glutathione peroxidase activities in C3H mice. *Anticancer Res.* 6:785-790.
- Block, E., R. S. Glass, N. E. Jacobsen, S. Johnson, C. Kahakachchi, R. Kaminski, A. Skowronska, H. T. Boakye, J. F. Tyson and P. C. Uden. 2004. Identification and Synthesis of a Novel Selenium-Sulfur Amino Acid Found in Selenized Yeast: Rapid Indirect Detection NMR Methods for Characterizing Low-Level Organoselenium Compounds in Complex Matrices. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 52:3761-3771.
- Bobcek, R., J. Mrazova, B. Bobcek and R. Lahucky. 2004. The influence of organic selenium on the production parameters and pig meat quality. *J. Centr. Eur. Agric.* 5:69.
- Bogye, G., G. Alfthan and T. Machay. 1998. Bioavailability of enteral yeast-selenium in preterm infants. *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.* 65:143-151.
- Botero, D., B. Gereben, C. Goncalves, L. A. De Jesus, J. W. Harney and A. C. Bianco. 2002. Ubc6p and ubc7p are required for normal and substrate-induced endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation of the human selenoprotein type 2 iodothyronine monodeiodinase. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 16:1999-2007.
- Broadley, M. R., P. J. White, R. J. Bryson, M. C. Meacham, H. C. Bowen, S. E. Johnson, M. J. Hawkesford, S. P. McGrath, F. J. Zhao, N. Breward, M. Harriman and M. Tucker. 2006. Biofortification of UK food crops with selenium. *Proc. Nutr. Soc.* 65:169-181.
- Brody, T. 1994. *Nutritional Biochemistry*. Academic Press, Inc. New York, NY.
- Bugel, S., B. Sandstrom and L. H. Skibsted. 2004. Pork meat: a good source of selenium? *J. Trace Elem. Med. Biol.* 17:307-311.
- Burk, R. F., K. E. Hill and A. K. Motley. 2001. Plasma selenium in specific and non-specific forms. *Biofactors* 14:107-114.
- Butler, J. A., C. D. Thomson, P. D. Whanger and M. F. Robinson. 1991. Selenium distribution in blood fractions of New Zealand women taking organic or inorganic selenium. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 53:748-754.
- Butler, J. A., P. D. Whanger, A. J. Kaneps and N. M. Patton. 1990. Metabolism of selenite and selenomethionine in the rhesus

- monkey. *J. Nutr.* 120:751-759.
- Burk, R. F. and K. E. Hill. 1994. Selenoprotein P. A selenium-rich extracellular glycoprotein. *J. Nutr.* 124:1891-1897.
- Cantor, A. H., N. D. Paton, A. J. Pescatore, M. J. Ford and C. A. Smith. 2003. The effect of selenium yeast in the hen's diet on transfer of selenium to the egg and the developing embryo. *Krmiva* 45: 327-334.
- Cao, Z. H., X. C. Wang, D. H. Yao, X. L. Zhang and M. H. Wong. 2001. Selenium geochemistry of paddy soils in Yangtze River Delta. *Environ. Int.* 26:335-339.
- Choct, M. and A. J. Naylor. 2004. The effect of dietary selenium source and vitamin E levels on performance of male broilers. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 17:1000-1006.
- Close, W. H. 2003. Organic selenium may improve sow, piglet performance. *Feedstuffs* 75:8.
- Combs, G. F. and S. B. Combs. 1984. The nutritional biochemistry of selenium. *Ann. Rev. Nutr.* 4:257-280.
- Combs, G. F. Jr. and S. B. Combs. 1986. *The Role of Selenium in Nutrition.* Academic Press, Inc. New York.
- Conrad, H. R. and A. L. Moxon. 1979. Transfer of dietary selenium to milk. *J. Dairy Sci.* 62:404-411.
- Curcio, C., M. M. Baqui, D. Salvatore, B. H. Rihn, S. Mohr, J. W. Harney, P. R. Larsen and A.C. Bianco. 2001. The human type 2 iodothyronine deiodinase is a selenoprotein highly expressed in a mesothelioma cell line. *J. Biol. Chem.* 276:30183-30187.
- Daniels, L. A. 1996. Selenium metabolism and bioavailability. *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.* 54:185-199.
- Deagen, J. T., J. A. Butler, M. A. Beilstein and P. D. Whanger. 1987. Effects of dietary selenite, selenocystine and selenomethionine on selenocysteine lyase and glutathione peroxidase activities and on selenium levels in rat tissues. *J. Nutr.* 117:1-98.
- Demirci, A., A. L. Pometto and D. J. Cox. 1999. Enhanced organically bound selenium yeast production by fed-batch fermentation. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 47: 2496-2500.
- Diaz, D. E., M. Casagrandi, A. Tampieri, L. Piccinni and P. Geliot. 2004. Increases in milk selenium concentrations and decreases in somatic cell counts in dairy cattle consuming selenium yeast (Sel-Plex™). Proceedings of the 20th Annual Symposium on Nutritional Biotechnology in the Feed and Food Industries, Lexington, Kentucky (Suppl. 1) Abstracts of Posters Presented, p.24.
- Dorea, J.G. 2002. Selenium and breast-feeding. *Brit. J. Nut.* 88:443-461.
- Ducros, V., F. Laporte, N. Belin, A. David and A. Favier. 2000. Selenium determination in human plasma lipoprotein fractions by mass spectrometry analysis. *J. Inorg. Biochem.* 81:105-109.
- Edens, F. W. 1996. Organic selenium: from feathers to muscle integrity to drip loss. Five years onward: no more selenite! In: *Biotechnology in the Feed industry. Proceedings of 12th Alltech's Annual Symposium* (Ed. T. P. Lyons and K. A. Jacques). Nottingham University Press, Nottingham, UK. pp. 165-185.
- Edens, F. W. 1997. Potential for organic selenium to replace selenite in poultry diets. *Zootecnica Intern.* 20:28-31.
- Edens, F. W. 2001. Involvement of Sel-Plex in physiological stability and performance of broiler chickens. In: *Biotechnology in the Feed industry. Proceedings of 17th Alltech's Annual Symposium* (Ed. T. P. Lyons and K. A. Jacques). Nottingham University Press, Nottingham, UK. pp. 349-376.
- Edens, F. W. 2002. Practical applications for selenomethionine: broiler breeder reproduction. In: *Nutritional Biotechnology in the Feed and Food Industries. Proceedings of 18th Alltech's Annual Symposium* (Ed. T. P. Lyons and K. A. Jacques). Nottingham University Press, Nottingham, UK. pp. 29-42.
- Edens, F. W. and K. M. Gowdy. 2004. Field results with broilers fed selenium yeast. In: *Nutritional Biotechnology in the Feed and Food Industry. Proceedings of the 20th Annual Symposium* (Suppl. 1), May 22-26, 2004, Lexington, Kentucky, USA, p. 32.
- Edens, F. W. and A. E. Sefton. 2003. Sel-Plex in broiler breeder diets: improved performance. Poster presented at Alltech's 19th Annual Symposium on Nutritional Biotechnology in the Feed and Food Industries, Lexington, Ky, May 12-14, 2003, CD-ROM.
- Ehlig, C. F., D. E. Hogue, W. H. Allaway and D. J. Hamm. 1967. Fate of selenium from selenite or seleno-methionine, with or without vitamin E, in lambs. *J. Nutr.* 92:121-126.
- Ekhholm, P., P. Varo, P. Aspila, P. Koivistoinen and L. Syrjalaqvist. 1991. Transport of feed selenium to different tissues of bulls. *Br. J. Nutr.* 66:49-55.
- Elliott, S., G. Harrison and K. Dawson 2005. Selenium supplementation of dairy cattle: responses to organic and inorganic forms of selenium. *Proc. Midwestern section ASAS and Midwest Branch ADSA Meeting, Des Moines.* Abstr. 265.
- Erokhin, A. S. and V. V. Nikonov. 2001. Improvement of the reproductive function of cows after parenteral injection of DAFS-25. *Russian Agric. Sci.* 9:25-27.
- Encinar, J. R., R. Ruzik, W. Buchmann, J. Tortajada, R. Lobinski and J. Szpunar. 2003. Detection of selenocompounds in a tryptic digest of yeast selenoprotein by MALDI time-of-flight MS prior to their structural analysis by electrospray ionization triple quadrupole MS. *Analyst.* 128:220-224.
- Fisher, D. D., S. W. Saxton, R. D. Elliot and J. M. Beatty. 1995. Effects of selenium source on Se status of lactating cows. *Vet. Clin. Nutr.* 2:68-74.
- Foltys, V., R. Bobcek, K. Kirchenkova and I. Straka. 2004. Effect of Sel-Plex supplementation on milk selenium and somatic cell counts in a commercial dairy herd. Proceedings of the 20th Annual Symposium on Nutritional Biotechnology in the Feed and Food Industries, Lexington, Kentucky (Suppl. 1) Abstracts of Posters Presented, p. 27.
- Gallegos, A., M. Berggren, J. R. Gasdaska and G. Powis. 1997. Mechanisms of the regulation of thioredoxin reductase activity in cancer cells by the chemopreventive agent selenium. *Cancer Res.* 57:4965-4970.
- Gassner, N. C., W. A. Baase, A. C. Hausrath and B. W. Matthews. 1999. Substitution with selenomethionine can enhance the stability of methionine-rich proteins. *J. Mol. Biol.* 294:17-20.
- Goh, K. H. and T. T. Lim. 2004. Geochemistry of inorganic arsenic and selenium in a tropical soil: effect of reaction time, pH, and competitive anions on arsenic and selenium adsorption. *Chemosphere* 55:849-859.
- Gourley, G. G., J. F. Lampe, J. C. Sparks and T. T. Stumpf. 2005. Piglet survivability and performance: Sel-Plex versus sodium selenite in sow and nursery diets. In: *Nutritional Biotechnology in the Feed and Food Industry. Proceedings of*

- the 21st Annual Symposium (Ed. T. P. Lyons and K. A. Jacques). Nottingham University Press, Nottingham, UK, pp. 153-156.
- Gowdy, K. M., F. W. Edens and M. A. Qureshi. 2003. Toxic influence of selenite on development and immunity in broiler chickens. Poster presented at Alltech's 19th Annual Symposium on Nutritional Biotechnology in the Feed and Food Industries, Lexington, Ky, May 12-14, 2003, CD-Room.
- Gu, Q. P., W. Ream and P. D. Whanger. 2002. Selenoprotein W gene regulation by selenium in L8 cells. *Biometals* 15:411-420.
- Gu, Q. P., Y. M. Xia, P. C. Ha, J. A. Butler and P. D. Whanger. 1998. Distribution of selenium between plasma fractions in guinea pigs and humans with various intakes of dietary selenium. *J. Trace Elem. Med. Biol.* 12:8-15.
- Gunter, S. A., P. A. Beck and J. K. Phillips. 2003. Effects of supplementary selenium source on the performance and blood measurements in beef cows and their calves. *J. Anim. Sci.* 81:856-864.
- Harrison, G. A., J. M. Tricarico and B. Lawrence. 2005. Effect of Sel-PlexTM supplementation during the dry period on whole blood and colostrum selenium in dairy cows in a commercial dairy herd in the Southeastern US. Proceedings of the 21th Annual Symposium on Nutritional Biotechnology in the Feed and Food Industries, Lexington, Kentucky (Suppl. 1) Abstracts of Posters Presented.
- Harrison, G. A., J. M. Tricarico and J. N. Tikofsky. 2005a. Effect of Sel-PlexTM supplementation to lactating dairy cows on blood and milk selenium in a commercial dairy herd in the Northeastern US. Proceedings of the 21th Annual Symposium on Nutritional Biotechnology in the Feed and Food Industries, Lexington, Kentucky (Suppl. 1) Abstracts of Posters Presented.
- Harrison, G. A., J. M. Tricarico and S. A. Elliott. 2005b. Effect of Sel-PlexTM supplementation on milk production, composition, and somatic cell count of lactating dairy cows in commercial dairy herds. 1. Whole herd responses. Proceedings of the 21th Annual Symposium on Nutritional Biotechnology in the Feed and Food Industries, Lexington, Kentucky (Suppl. 1) Abstracts of Posters Presented.
- Haygarth, P. M., A. F. Harrison and K. C. Jones. 1995. Plant selenium from soil and the atmosphere. *J. Environ. Quality* 24:768-771.
- Hemken, R. W., R. J. Harmon and S. Trammell. 1998. Selenium for dairy cattle: a role for organic selenium. *Feed Compounder* 18:22-24.
- Hinojosa Reyes, L., J. M. Marchante-Gayon, J. I. Garcia Alonso and A. Sanz-Medel. 2006. Application of isotope dilution analysis for the evaluation of extraction conditions in the determination of total selenium and selenomethionine in yeast-based nutritional supplements. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 54:1557-1563.
- Hondal, R. J., A. K. Motley, K. E. Hill and R. F. Burk. 1999. Failure of selenomethionine residues in albumin and immunoglobulin G to protect against peroxynitrite. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 371:29-34.
- Huang ZhiJian, Lin Fan Ping, Qiu Chen Liang, Luo Jin Mu and Wu Yi Shan. 2002. Effects of selenium on reproductive performances and immune functions in dairy cows. *Journal of Fujian Agricultural and Forestry University* 31:76-79.
- Ip, C., M. Birringer, E. Block, M. Kotrebai, J. F. Tyson, P. C. Uden and D. J. Lisk. 2000. Chemical speciation influences comparative activity of selenium-enriched garlic and yeast in mammary cancer prevention. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 48:2062-2070.
- Ip, C. and C. Hayes. 1989. Tissue selenium levels in selenium-supplemented rats and their relevance in mammary cancer protection. *Carcinogenesis* 10:921-925.
- Janyk, S. W. 2001. A selenium supplement for sows. *Pig International* 31:19-20.
- Janyk, W. S., D. J. Opperman, C. C. Rall, P. L. Opperman and A. T. Browne. 1998. Effect of organic or inorganic selenium and vitamin E supplementation on the reproductive performance of sows. Proceedings of the Asia-Pacific Animal Science Meeting, Seoul, July 1998.
- Jonnalagadda, S. B. and P. V. Rao. 1993. Toxicity, bioavailability and metal speciation. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* 106C:585-595.
- Khaled, N. F. and J. Illek. 1999. Influence of dietary supplementation of selenium-enriched yeast on selenium status of dairy goats. Proceedings of the 19th Conference on Macro and Trace Elements. Friedrich-Schiller University, Jena, Germany, December 3-4, pp. 244-251.
- Kim, B. W., A. M. Zavacki, C. Curcio-Morelli, M. Dentice, J. W. Harney, P. R. Larsen and A. C. Bianco. 2003. ER-associated degradation of the human type 2 iodothyronine deiodinase (D2) is mediated via an association between mammalian UBC7 and the carboxyl region of D2. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 17:2603-2612.
- Kim, Y. Y. and D. C. Mahan. 2001. Prolonged feeding of high dietary levels of organic and inorganic selenium to gilts from 25 kg body weight through one parity. *J. Anim. Sci.* 79:956-966.
- Kim, Y. Y. and D. C. Mahan. 2001a. Comparative effects of high dietary levels of organic and inorganic selenium on selenium toxicity of growing-finishing pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* 79:942-948.
- Kim, Y. Y. and D. C. Mahan. 2001b. Effect of dietary selenium source, level, and pig hair color on various selenium indices. *J. Anim. Sci.* 79:949-955.
- Kim, Y. Y. and D. C. Mahan. 2003. Biological aspects of selenium in farm animals. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 16:435-444.
- Klecker, D., L. Zeaman and A. Bunesova. 1997. Effect of organic selenium on the quality parameters of eggs. Proceedings of the 48th Annual Meeting of the European Association of Animal Production, Vienna, August 25-28, 1997, p. 89.
- Klecker, D., M. Zantlokaul and L. Zeaman. 2001. Effect of organic selenium, zink and manganese on reproductive traits of laying hens and cockerels on the quality parameters of eggs. Proceedings of the 13th European Symposium on Poultry Nutrition, Blankenberge, Belgium, October, 2001.
- Knowles, S. O., N. D. Grace, K. Wurms and J. Lee. 1999. Significance of amount and form of dietary selenium on blood, milk, and casein selenium concentrations in grazing cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 82:429-443.
- Korhola, M., A. Vainio and K. Edelmänn. 1986. Selenium yeast. *Ann. Clin. Res.* 18:65-68.
- Kremer, D., G. Ilgen and J. Feldmann. 2005. GC-ICP-MS determination of dimethylselenide in human breath after ingestion of (77)Se-enriched selenite: monitoring of in-vivo methylation of selenium. *Analyt. Bioanalyt. Chem.* 383:509-515.

- Kuehnelt, D., N. Kienzl, P. Traar, N. H. Le, K. A. Francesconi and T. Ochi. 2005. Selenium metabolites in human urine after ingestion of selenite, L:-selenomethionine, or DL:-selenomethionine: a quantitative case study by HPLC/ICPMS. *Analyt. Bioanalyt. Chem.* 383:235-246.
- Lampe, J., G. Gourley, J. Sparks and T. Stumpf. 2005. Prewean piglet survivability: Sel-Plex[®] verse sodium selenite as selenium source in sow diets. Proceedings of Midwest Section of ASAS, DesMoines, IA.
- Lampe, J., G. Gourley, J. Sparks and T. Stumpf. 2005a. Postwean piglet survivability: Sel-Plex[®] verse sodium selenite as selenium source in sow and nursery phase diets. Proceedings of Midwest Section of ASAS, DesMoines, IA.
- Lanning, D., K. Ayres and S. Kenyon. 2000. Sel-Plex in the breeder diet: reductions in chick mortality: summary of commercial studies in Britain 2000. Alltech UK, Stamford, Lincs. UK.
- Larsen, E. H., M. Hansen, H. Paulin, S. Moesgaard, M. Reid and M. Rayman. 2004. Speciation and bioavailability of selenium in yeast-based intervention agents used in cancer chemoprevention studies. *J. AOAC Intern.* 87:225-232.
- Larsen, E. H., M. Hansen, T. Fan and M. Vahl. 2001. Speciation of selenoamino acids, selenium ions and inorganic selenium by ion exchange HPLC with mass spectrometric detection and its application to yeast and algae. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* 16:1403-1408.
- Levander, O. A. 1983. Bio-availability of selenium to Finnish men as assessed by platelet glutathione peroxidase activity and other blood parameters. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 37:887-897.
- Liang, L., S. Mo, P. Zhang, Y. Ca, S. Mou, G. Jiang and M. Wen. 2006. Selenium speciation by high-performance anion-exchange chromatography-post-column UV irradiation coupled with atomic fluorescence spectrometry. *J. Chromatogr. A.* 1118:139-143.
- Luo, X. M., H. J. Wei, C. L. Yang, J. Xing, X. Liu, C. H. Qiao, Y. M. Feng, J. Liu, Y. X. Liu and Q. Wu. 1985. Bioavailability of selenium to residents in a low-selenium area of China. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 42:439-448.
- Lyons, G., J. Stangoulis and R. Graham. 2003. High-selenium wheat: biofortification for better health. *Nutr. Res. Rev.* 16:45-60.
- MacPherson, A. 2000. Trace mineral status of forages. In: (Ed. D. I. Givens, E. Owen, H. M. Omed and R. F. E. Axford) *Forage Evaluation in Ruminant Nutrition*, CAB International, pp. 345-371.
- Mahan, D. C. 2000. Effect of organic and inorganic selenium sources and levels on sow colostrum and milk selenium content. *J. Anim. Sci.* 78:100-105.
- Mahan, D. C. 1996. Are we still having vitamin E and selenium deficiencies in pigs? In: *Illini PorkNet Papers, The Online Resource for the Pork Industry*, pp. 1-21. <http://www.trail.uiuc.edu/uploads/porknet/papers/mahan%2Epdf>
- Mahan, D. C. 1991. Vitamin E and selenium in swine nutrition. In: *Swine Nutrition* (Ed. E. R. Miller, D. E. Ullrey and A. J. Lewis), Butterworth-Heinemann, Boston, MA, pp. 193-214.
- Mahan, D. C. 1994. Effects of dietary vitamin E on sow reproductive performance over a five-parity period. *J. Anim. Sci.* 72:2870-2879.
- Mahan, D. C. and Y. Y. Kim. 1996. Effect of inorganic or organic selenium at two dietary levels on reproductive performance and tissue selenium concentrations in first-parity gilts and their progeny. *J. Anim. Sci.* 74:2711-2718.
- Mahan, D. C. and J. C. Peters. 2004. Long-term effects of dietary organic and inorganic selenium sources and levels on reproducing sows and their progeny. *J. Anim. Sci.* 82:1343-1358.
- Mahan, D. C., T. R. Cline and B. Richert. 1999. Effects of dietary levels of selenium-enriched yeast and sodium selenite as selenium sources fed to growing-finishing pigs on performance, tissue selenium, serum glutathione peroxidase activity, carcass characteristics, and loin quality. *J. Anim. Sci.* 77:2172-2179.
- Mahan, D. C. and Y. Y. Kim. 1996. Effect of inorganic or organic selenium at two dietary levels on reproductive performance and tissue selenium concentrations in first-parity gilts and their progeny. *J. Anim. Sci.* 74:2711-2718.
- Mahan, D. C. and K. Jacques. 1998. Effect of maternal dietary selenium source on selenium content of sow's milk and selenium status of neonatal pigs. Proceedings of the 6th International Symposium on the Uses of Selenium and Tellurium. Selenium-Tellurium Development Association, USA, pp. 135-138.
- Mahmoud, K. Z. and F. W. Edens. 2003. Influence of selenium sources on age-related and mild heat stress-related changes of blood and liver glutathione redox cycle in broiler chickens (*Gallus domesticus*). *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* 136B:921-934.
- Malbe, M., M. Klaassen, W. Fang, V. Myllys, M. Vikerpuur, K. Nyholm, S. Sankari, K. Suoranta and M. Sandholm. 1995. Comparisons of selenite and selenium yeast feed supplements on Se-incorporation, mastitis and leukocyte function in Se-deficient dairy cows. *J. Vet. Med. A* 42:111-121.
- McIntosh, G. H. and P. J. Royle. 2002. Supplementation of cows with organic selenium and the identification of selenium-rich protein fraction in milk. In: *Nutritional Technology in the Feed and Food Industries. Proceedings of Alltech's 18th Annual Symposium* (Ed. T. P. Lyons and Jacques). pp. 233-238.
- McSheehy, S., L. Yang, R. Sturgeon and Z. Mester. 2005. Determination of methionine and selenomethionine in selenium-enriched yeast by species-specific isotope dilution with liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry and inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry detection. *Anal. Chem.* 77:344-349.
- McSheehy, S., J. Kelly, L. Tessier and Z. Mester. 2005a. Identification of selenomethionine in selenized yeast using two-dimensional liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry based proteomic analysis. *Analyst* 130:35-37.
- Miller, K. D., B. Wolter, F. K. McKeith, D. C. Mahan and M. Ellis. 1997. Influence of Dietary Selenium Source on Pig Performance. *J. Anim. Sci.* 75(Supp. 1):187.
- Moreno, P., M. A. Quijano, A. M. Gutierrez, M. C. Perez-Conde and C. Camara. 2002. Stability of total selenium and selenium species in lyophilised oysters and in their enzymatic extracts. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 374:466-476.
- Moser-Veillon, P. B., A. R. Mangels, K. Y. Patterson and C. Veillon. 1992. Utilization of two different chemical forms of

- selenium during lactation using stable isotope tracers: an example of speciation in nutrition. *Analyst* 117:559-562.
- Naylor, A. J., M. Choct and K. A. Jacques. 2000. Effects of selenium source and level on performance and meat quality in male broilers. *Poult. Sci.* 79(Suppl):117.
- Okuno, T., T. Kubota, T. Kuroda, H. Ueno and K. Nakamuro. 2001. Contribution of enzymic alpha, gamma-elimination reaction in detoxification pathway of selenomethionine in mouse liver. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* 176:18-23.
- Olson, O. E. and I. S. Palmer. 1976. Selenoamino acids in tissues of rats administered inorganic selenium. *Metabolism* 25:299-306.
- Olson, O. E., E. J. Novacek, E. I. Whitehead and I. C. Palmer. 1970. Investigations on selenium in wheat. *Phytochem.* 9:1181-1188.
- Ortman, K. and B. Pehrson. 1998. Selenite and selenium yeast as feed supplements to growing fattening pigs. *Zentralblatt für Veterinärmedizin Reihe A* 45:551-557.
- Ortman, K. and B. Pehrson. 1999. Effect of selenate as a feed supplement to dairy cows in comparison to selenite and selenium yeast. *J. Anim. Sci.* 77:3365-3370.
- Ortman, K. and B. Pehrson. 1997. Selenite and selenium yeast as feed supplements for dairy cows. *J. Vet. Med. A* 44:373-380.
- Pan, E. A. and F. Rutz. 2003. Sel-Plex for layers: egg production and quality responses to increasing level of inclusion. Poster presented at Alltech's 19th Annual Symposium on Nutritional Biotechnology in the Feed and Food Industries, Lexington, Ky, May 12-14, 2003, CD-ROM.
- Pappas, A. C., F. Karadas, P. F. Surai and B. K. Speake. 2005. The selenium intake of the female chicken exerts a continuing influence on the selenium status of her progeny. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* 142B:465-474.
- Pappas, A. C., F. Karadas, P. F. Surai, N. Wood, P. Cassey and B. K. Speake. 2006. Interspecies variation in yolk selenium concentrations among eggs of free-living birds. *J. Trace Elem. Med. Biol.* 20:155-160.
- Paton, N. D. and A. H. Cantor. 2000. Effect of dietary selenium source, level of inclusion and length of storage on internal quality and shell strength of eggs. *Poult. Sci.* 79(Suppl. 1):75.
- Paton, N. D., A. H. Cantor, A. J. Pescatore, M. J. Ford and C. A. Smith. 2002. The effects of dietary selenium source and level on the uptake of selenium by developing chick embryos. *Poult. Sci.* 81:1548-1554.
- Patterson, B. H., O. A. Levander, K. Helzlsouer, P. A. McAdam, S. A. Lewis, P. R. Taylor, C. Veillon and L. A. Zech. 1989. Human selenite metabolism: a kinetic model. *Am. J. Physiol.* 257:R556-567.
- Pehrson, B., K. Ortman, N. Madjid and U. Trafikowska. 1999. The influence of dietary selenium as selenium yeast or sodium selenite on the concentration of selenium in the milk of suckler cows and on the selenium status of their calves. *J. Anim. Sci.* 77:3371-3376.
- Pehrson, B., M. Knutsson and M. Gyllensward. 1989. Glutathione peroxidase activity in heifers fed diets supplemented with organic and inorganic selenium compounds. *Swedish J. Agric. Res.* 19:53-56.
- Pehrson, B. 2004. Selenium yeast prevents nutritional muscular degeneration in nursing calves. Proceedings of the 20th Annual Symposium on Nutritional Biotechnology in the Feed and Food Industries, Lexington, Kentucky (Suppl. 1) Abstracts of Posters Presented, p. 25 .
- Persson-Moschos, M., G. Alfthan and B. Akesson. 1998. Plasma selenoprotein P levels of healthy males in different selenium status after oral supplementation with different forms of selenium. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* 52:363-367.
- Pierce, S. and A. L. Tappel. 1977. Effects of selenite and selenomethionine on glutathione peroxidase in the rat. *J. Nutr.* 107:475-479.
- Pickering, I. J., R. C. Prince, D. E. Salt and G. N. George. 2000. Quantitative, chemically specific imaging of selenium transformation in plants. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 97:10717-10722 .
- Pineda, A., A. G. Borbolla, G. González and D. C. Mahan. 2004. Intake of organic selenium (Sel-Plex) by primiparous sows for a long period of time: evaluation on reproductive performance. Poster presentation at Alltech's 20th Annual Symposium on Nutritional Biotechnology in the Feed and Food Industries, Lexington, KY, USA.
- Polatajko, A., B. Banas, J. R. Encinar and J. Szpunar. 2005. Investigation of the recovery of selenomethionine from selenized yeast by two-dimensional LC-ICP MS. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 381:844-849.
- Ponce de Leon, C. A., M. M. Bayon, C. Paquin and J. A. Caruso. 2002. Selenium incorporation into *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cells: a study of different incorporation methods. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 92:602-610.
- Reasbeck, P. G., G. O. Barbezat, M. F. Robinson and C. D. Thompson. 1981. Direct measurement of selenium absorption *in vivo*: Triple-lumen gut perfusion in the conscious dog. In: Proceedings New Zealand Workshop on Trace Elements, p. 107. University Otago, Dunedin, NZ.
- Renema, R. A. 2004. Reproductive responses to Sel-Plex organic selenium in male and female broiler breeders: impact on production traits and hatchability. In: Nutritional Biotechnology in the Feed and Food Industries. Proceedings of 20th Alltech's Annual Symposium (Ed. L. P. Lyons and K. A. Jacques). Nottingham University Press, Nottingham, UK. pp. 81-91.
- Reyes, L. H., J. R. Encinar, J. M. Marchante-Gayon, J. I. Alonso and A. Sanz-Medel. 2006. Selenium bioaccessibility assessment in selenized yeast after "in vitro" gastrointestinal digestion using two-dimensional chromatography and mass spectrometry. *J. Chromatogr. A.* 1110:108-116.
- Robinson, M. F., C. D. Thomson and P. K. Huemmer. 1985. Effect of a megadose of ascorbic acid, a meal and orange juice on the absorption of selenium as sodium selenite. *New Zealand Med. J.* 98:627-629.
- Roch, G., M. Boulianne and L. De Roth. 2000. Effect of dietary antioxidants on the incidence of pulmonary hypertension syndrome in broilers. In: Nutritional Biotechnology in the Feed Industry. Proceedings of 16th Alltech's Annual Symposium (Ed. T. P. Lyons and K. A. Jacques). Nottingham University Press, Nottingham, UK. pp. 261-276.
- Rutz, F., E. A. Pan, G. B. Xavier and M. A. Anciuti. 2003. Meeting selenium demands of modern poultry: responses to Sel-Plex organic selenium in broiler and breeder diets. In: Nutritional

- Biotechnology in the Feed and Food Industries. Proceedings of 19th Alltech's Annual Symposium (Ed. T. P. Lyons and K. A. Jacques). Nottingham University Press, Nottingham, UK, pp. 147-161.
- Schrauzer, G. N. 2003. The nutritional significance, metabolism and toxicology of selenomethionine. *Adv. Food Nutr. Res.* 47:73-112.
- Schrauzer, G. N. 2006. Selenium yeast: composition, quality, analysis and safety. *Pure Appl. Chem.* 78:105-109.
- Sefton, A. E. and F. W. Edens. 2004a. Sel-Plex improves broiler breeder performance. *Nutritional Biotechnology in the Feed and Food Industry. Proceedings of the 20th Annual Symposium (Suppl. 1), May 22-26, 2004, Lexington, Kentucky, USA, p. 32.*
- Selenium in Nutrition. 1983. National Academic Press, Washington, DC.
- Simek, J., G. Chladek, V. Koutnik and L. Steinhauser. 2002. Selenium content of beef and its effect on drip and fluid losses. *Animal Science Papers and Reports* 20 (Suppl. 1):49-53.
- Sims, M. D., M. F. White and R. E. Weems. 2003. Selenium content of liver and edible tissue in turkeys fed Sel-Plex. Poster presented at International Poultry Scientific Forum, Atlanta, Georgia, January 20-21, 2003.
- Song, Z., Y. Guo and J. Yuan. 2006. Effect of dietary iodine and selenium on the activities of blood lymphocytes in laying hens. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 19:713-719.
- Sors, T. G., D. R. Ellis and D. E. Salt. 2005. Selenium uptake, translocation, assimilation and metabolic fate in plants. *Photosynthesis Res.* 86:373-389.
- Spallholz, J. E. 1997. Free radical generation by selenium compounds and their prooxidant toxicity. *Biomed. Environ. Sci.* 10:260-270.
- Srimongkol, C., K. Angkanaporn and S. Kijparkorn. 2004. Effect of selenium supplementation on performance, thyroid hormone levels, antioxidant enzyme and disaccharidase activities in broilers. *Nutritional Biotechnology in the Feed and Food Industry. Proceedings of the 20th Annual Symposium (Suppl. 1), May 22-26, 2004, Lexington, Kentucky, USA, p. 13.*
- Stolic, N., T. Radovanovic, N. Stolic, B. Milosevic, M. Milencovic and V. Doscovic. 2002. Study of the improvement of the fattening chick feeding quality using organic selenium. *Biotechnology in Animal Husbandry. Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade, Yugoslavia* 18:239-246.
- Suhajda, A., J. Hegoczki, B. Janzo, I. Pais and G. Vereczkey. 2000. Preparation of selenium yeasts I. Preparation of selenium-enriched *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J. Trace Elem. Med. Biol.* 14:43-47.
- Sunde, R. A., M. S. Saedi, S. A. B. Knight, C. G. Smith and J. K. Evenson. 1989. Regulation of expression of glutathione peroxidase by selenium. In: (Ed. A. Wendel) *Selenium in Biology and Medicine*, pp.8-13, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, Germany.
- Surai, P. F. 2000. Effect of the selenium and vitamin E content of the maternal diet on the antioxidant system of the yolk and the developing chick. *Br. Poult. Sci.* 41:235-243.
- Surai, P. F. 2002. *Natural Antioxidants in Avian Nutrition and Reproduction*. Nottingham University Press, Nottingham.
- Surai, P. F. 2006. *Selenium in Nutrition and Health*. Nottingham University Press, Nottingham.
- Surai, P. F. 2006a. The move toward seleno-eggs: making nature's perfect food even better. *Nutritional Biotechnology in the Feed and Food Industries. Proc. of Alltech's 22nd Annual Symposium (Ed. T. P. Lyons, K. A. Jacques and J. M. Hower)*. Nottingham University Press, Nottingham, UK, pp. 181-188.
- Surai, P. F. and J. E. Dvorska. 2002. Effect of selenium and vitamin E content of the diet on lipid peroxidation in breast muscle tissue of broiler breeder hens during storage. *Proceedings of Australian Poultry Science Symposium* 14:187-192.
- Surai, P. F. and J. E. Dvorska. 2002a. Effect of selenium and vitamin E on lipid peroxidation in thigh muscle tissue of broiler breeder hens during storage. *Archive Geflugelk* 66:120.
- Surai, P. F., F. Karadas, A. C. Pappas and N. H. Sparks. 2006. Effect of organic selenium in quail diet on its accumulation in tissues and transfer to the progeny. *Br. Poult. Sci.* 47:65-72.
- Suzuki, K. T. and Y. Ogra. 2002. Metabolic pathway for selenium in the body: speciation by HPLC-ICP MS with enriched Se. *Food Addit. Contamin.* 19:974-983.
- Swanson, C. A., B. H. Patterson, O. A. Levander, C. Veillon, P. R. Taylor, K. Helzlsouer, P. A. McAdam and L. A. Zech. 1991. Human [⁷⁴Se]selenomethionine metabolism: a kinetic model. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 54:917-926.
- Szulc, B., F. Ryszka and B. Dolinska. 2003. The kinetic study of the selenium yeast stability. *Bollettino Chimico Farmaceutico* 142:66-68.
- Tan, J., W. Zhu, W. Wang, R. Li, S. Hou, D. Wang and L. Yang. 2002. Selenium in soil and endemic diseases in China. *Sci. Total Environ.* 284:227-235.
- Terry, N., A. M. Zayed, M. P. De Souza and A. S. Tarun. 2000. Selenium in higher plants. *Annual Rev. Plant Physiol. Mol. Biol.* 51:401-432.
- Thomson, C. D. 1998. Selenium speciation in human body fluids. *Analyst* 123:827-831.
- Valle, G., L. R. McDowell, D. L. Princhard, P. J. Chenoweth, D. L. Wright, F. G. Martin, W. E. Kunkle and N. S. Wilkinson. 2003. Effects of supplementing selenium to beef cattle cow-calf heard on tissue selenium concentration. *J. Anim. Vet. Adv.* 2:126-132.
- Valle, G. 2001. Effect of different methods, sources and levels of selenium supplementation and fertilization on beef cattle and forage tissue levels. PhD Thesis, University of Florida, Florida, USA.
- Van Metre, D. C. and R. J. Callan. 2001. Selenium and vitamin E. *Vet. Clinics North America. Food Anim. Practice* 17:373-402.
- Vlahovic, M., Z. Pavlovski, B. Zivkovic, M. Lukic and G. Marinkov. 1998. Influence of different selenium sources on broiler performance. *Yugoslav Poult. Sci.* 3:3-4.
- Vonderheide, A. P., K. Wrobel, S. S. Kannamkumarath, C. B'Hymer, M. Montes-Bayon, C. Ponce De Leon and J. A. Caruso. 2002. Characterization of selenium species in Brazil nuts by HPLC-ICP-MS and ES-MS. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 50:5722-5728.
- Wakebe, M. 1999. Organic selenium and egg freshness. Poster Presented at Alltech's 15th Annual Symposium on Biotechnology in the feed industry, Lexington, Ky.
- Whanger, P. D. 2002. Selenocompounds in plants and animals and

- their biological significance. *J. Am. Coll. Nutr.* 21:223-232.
- Wolf, W. R. and R. J. Goldschmidt. 2004. Selenomethionine contents of NIST wheat reference materials. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 378:1175-1181.
- Wolf, W. R., H. Zainal and B. Yager. 2001. Selenomethionine content of candidate reference materials. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* 370:286-290.
- Wolter, B., M. Ellis, F. K. McKeith, K. D. Miller and D. C. Mahan. 1999. Influence of dietary selenium source on growth performance, and carcass and meat quality characteristics in pigs. *Can. J. Anim. Sci.* 79:119-121.
- Wrobel, K., S. S. Kannamkumarath, K. Wrobel and J. A. Caruso. 2003. Hydrolysis of proteins with methanesulfonic acid for improved HPLC-ICP-MS determination of seleno-methionine in yeast and nuts. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 375:133-138.
- Ximenez-Embun, P., I. Alonso, Y. Madrid-Albarran and C. Camara. 2004. Establishment of selenium uptake and species distribution in lupine, Indian mustard, and sunflower plants. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 52:832-838.
- Yang, X., Y. Tian, P. Ha and L. Gu. 1997. Determination of the selenomethionine content in grain and human blood. *Wei Sheng Yan Jiu* 26:113-116.
- Yang, L., Z. Mester and R. E. Sturgeon. 2004. Determination of methionine and selenomethionine in yeast by species-specific isotope dilution GC/MS. *Anal. Chem.* 76:5149-5156.
- Yaroshenko, F. A., J. E. Dvorska, P. F. Surai and N. H. C. Sparks. 2003. Selenium/vitamin E enriched eggs: nutritional quality and stability during storage. Poster presented at Alltech's 19th Annual Symposium on Nutritional Biotechnology in the Feed and Food Industries, Lexington, Ky, May 12-14, 2003, CD-ROM.
- Yaroshenko, F. A., P. F. Surai, Y. F. Yaroshenko, F. Karadas and N. H. C. Sparks. 2004. Theoretical background and commercial application of production of Se-enriched chicken. Book of Abstracts XXII World's Poultry Congress, 8-13 June, 2004, Istanbul, Turkey, p. 410.
- Yaroshenko, F. A., J. E. Dvorska, P. F. Surai and N. H. C. Sparks. 2003a. Selenium-enriched eggs as a source of selenium for human consumption. *Appl. Biotech. Food Sci. Policy* 1:13-23.
- Yoshida, M., K. Fukunaga, H. Tsuchita and K. Yasumoto. 1999. An evaluation of the bioavailability of selenium in high-selenium yeast. *J. Nutr. Sci. Vitaminol.* 45:119-128.
- Yoshida, M., M. Abe, K. Fukunaga and K. Kikuchi. 2002. Bioavailability of selenium in the defatted dark muscle of tuna. *Food Addit. Contamin.* 19:990-995.
- Zhou, Z. S., A. E. Smith and R. G. Matthews. 2000. L-Selenohomocysteine: one-step synthesis from L-selenomethionine and kinetic analysis as substrate for methionine synthases. *Bioorg. Medic. Chem. Lett.* 10:2471-2475.