



## Effect of Levels of Supplementation of Concentrate Containing High Levels of Cassava Chip on Rumen Ecology, Microbial N Supply and Digestibility of Nutrients in Beef Cattle

M. Wanapat\* and S. Khampa

Tropical Feed Resources Research and Development Center (TROFREC),  
Faculty of Agriculture, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, 40002, Thailand

**ABSTRACT :** The object of this study was to determine the influence of supplementation of concentrate containing high levels of cassava chip on rumen ecology, microbial protein and digestibility of nutrients. Four, rumen fistulated crossbred beef steers with initial body weight of  $400 \pm 10$  kg were randomly assigned according to a 4x4 Latin square design. The dietary treatments were concentrate cassava chip based offering at 0, 1, 2 and 3% BW with urea-treated rice straw fed *ad libitum*. It was found that ruminal pH was significantly decreased with increase of concentrate. Volatile fatty acids (VFA) concentration in the rumen was significantly different among treatments. In addition, a molar proportion of propionate was higher in supplemented groups at 2 and 3% BW ( $p < 0.05$ ), leading to significantly decreased acetate:propionate ratio. Furthermore, microbial N supply was significantly improved and was highest at 2% BW supplementation. The efficiency of rumen microbial-N synthesis based on organic matter (OM) truly digested in the rumen was highest in level of concentrate supplementation at 2% BW (80% of cassava chip in diets). Moreover, bacterial populations such as amylolytic bacteria was linearly increased, while cellulolytic bacteria was linearly decreased ( $p < 0.01$ ) when cattle received concentrate supplementation in all levels. The total protozoal counts were significantly increased, while fungal zoospores were dramatically decreased in cattle receiving increased levels of concentrate. In conclusion, cassava chip can be use as energy source at 80% in concentrate and supplementation of concentrate at 2% BW with urea-treated rice straw as roughage could improve rumen fermentation efficiency in beef cattle. (**Key Words :** Concentrate, Cassava Chip, Urea, Rumen Ecology, Microbial Protein Synthesis, Beef Cattle, Ruminants)

### INTRODUCTION

Cassava (*Manihot esculenta*, Crantz) is an annual tuber crop grown widely in the tropical regions of Africa, Asia and Latin America. It thrives in sandy-loam soils with low organic matter, and in climate with low rainfall and high temperature (Hong et al., 2003; Wanapat et al., 2004). Cassava can be grow to produce cassava foliage as a protein feed sources (Wanapat, 2003 ; Khang et al., 2005) and tuber is a energy source for animal feed. Cassava tubers contain high levels of energy and minimal levels of crude protein and have been used as readily fermentable energy in ruminant rations and has been used extensively as a feed for

livestock (Wanapat, 2003; Kiyothong and Wanapat, 2004; Promkot and Wanapat, 2005).

Cassava chip (CC) or pellet contained high level of non-structural carbohydrate and were highly degradable in the rumen as compared with other energy sources including corn meal (Sommart et al., 2000; Chanjula et al., 2003). In addition, higher level of non-protein nitrogen (NPN) particularly urea could be incorporated in concentrate due to cassava chip's high rate of ruminal degradation. Current research work using high CC and urea levels in dairy steers (80% CC with 4% urea; Khampa et al., 2006a; Kampa et al., 2006b), in lactating dairy cows resulted in good milk yield and quality (75% CC with 4.5% urea). Most importantly CC could completely replace corn meal in concentrate and resulted in more lucrative productivity (Chanjula et al., 2004). Therefore, this present study was conducted to determine the influence of levels of supplementation of concentrate containing high levels of cassava chip on rumen

\* Corresponding Author: Metha Wanapat. Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Khon Kaen University, P.O. Box 40002, Thailand. Tel: +66-43-202368, Fax: +66-43-202368, E-mail: metha@kku.ac.th

Received June 5, 2005; Accepted January 9, 2006

**Table 1.** Composition of concentrate diet and urea-treated rice straw (UTS) used in the experiment (% DM basis)

Item	Concentrate	Urea-treated rice straw (UTS)
Ingredient (%DM)		
Cassava chip	80	
Fine rice bran	6	
Whole cotton seed	5	
Urea	4	
Molasses	3	
Sulfur	0.5	
Salt	0.5	
Mineral mix	1	
Analyzed composition (%)		
DM	92.8	52.4
OM	91.2	84.7
Ash	8.9	15.3
CP	15.7	8.9
TDN	79.1	54.1
NDF	14.5	89.8
ADF	10.1	57.0
NDF protein	1.5	-
Fat	2.5	1.2
NSC <sup>1</sup>	59.9	-

<sup>1</sup> NSC = 100-((NDF-NDF protein)+protein+fat+ash), DM = Dry matter, CP = Crude protein, OM = Organic matter, NDF = Neutral detergent fiber, ADF = Acid detergent fiber, TDN = Total digestible nutrient, NSC = Non-structural carbohydrate.

ecology, microbial protein and digestibility of nutrients in beef cattle.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Animals, treatments and experimental design

Four-fistulated crossbred (Brahman×Native) beef steers with initial body weight of 400±10 kg were randomly assigned according to a 4×4 Latin square design to investigate the effects of levels of supplementation of concentrate containing high level of cassava chip with urea-treated rice straw (UTS) as a roughage source on rumen ecology, ruminal fermentation, nitrogen balance, feed intake and digestibility of nutrients and microbial protein synthesis. The dietary treatments contained concentrate at 0, 1, 2 and 3% BW, respectively.

Urea-treated rice straw (UTS) was prepared by using 5% (W/W) urea mixed with 100 kg of water in 100 kg of rice straw (RS) batches (50:50, water to straw) and poured over a stack of straw and then covered with a plastic sheet for a minimum of 10 days before feeding to animals (Wanapat, 1990).

All animals were kept in individual pens and water was available free choice. The experiment was conducted for four periods, and each period lasted for 21 days. During the first 14 days, all animals were fed on respective diets at *ad libitum* basis, while the last 7 days, the animals were kept in

metabolism crates for total collection during which they were restricted to 90% of the previous voluntary feed intake of straw. Chemical and composition of concentrate and UTS used are shown in Table 1.

### Data collection, sampling procedures and statistic analysis

Composites samples were dried at 60°C and ground (1 mm screen using Cyclotech Mill, Tecator, Sweden) and then analyzed for DM, ether extract, ash and CP content (AOAC, 1985), NDF, ADF and ADL (Goering and Van Soest, 1970) and AIA. AIA was used to estimate digestibility of nutrients (Van Keulen and Young, 1977).

Rumen fluid and jugular blood samples were collected at 0, 1, 2, 4, 6 h post-feeding. Approximately 200 ml of rumen fluid was taken from the middle part of the rumen using a 60-ml hand syringe at each time at the end of each period. Rumen fluid was immediately measured for pH and temperature using a portable pH and temperature meter (HANNA instrument HI 8424 microcomputer, Singapore). Rumen fluid samples were then filtered through four layers of cheesecloth. Samples were divided into three portions; one portion was used for NH<sub>3</sub>-N analysis where 5 ml of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution (1M) was added to 50 ml of rumen fluid. The mixture was centrifuged at 16,000×g for 15 minutes and supernatant was stored at -20°C prior to NH<sub>3</sub>-N and VFA analyses using a HPLC (Instruments by controller water model 600E; water model 484 UV detector; column novapak C<sub>18</sub>; column size 4 mm×150 mm; mobile phase 10 mM H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (pH 2.5), ETL Testing Laboratory, Inc., Cortland, New York, 13045, U.S.A.) according to Samuel et al. (1997). Second portion was fixed with 10% formalin solution in sterilized 0.9% saline solution (Galyean, 1989). The total direct count of bacteria, protozoa and fungal zoospores were made using the methods of Galyean (1989) based on the use of a haemocytometer (Boeco). Third portion was taken to study cultured groups of viable bacteria using roll-tube technique (Hungate, 1969), for identifying bacteria groups (cellulolytic, proteolytic, amylolytic and total viable count bacteria).

A blood sample (about 10 ml) was drawn from the jugular vein at the same time as rumen fluid sampling, separated by centrifugation at 500×g for 10 minutes (Table Top Centrifuge PLC-02, U.S.A.) and stored at -20°C until analysis of blood urea nitrogen (BUN) according to the method of Crocker (1967). Urine samples were analyzed for urinary nitrogen (IAEA, 1997) and allantoin in urine was determined by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) (Instruments by controller water model 600E; water model 484 UV detector; column novapak C<sub>18</sub>; column size 4 mm×150 mm; mobile phase 10 mM H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (pH 2.5), ETL Testing Laboratory, Inc., Cortland, New York, 13045,

**Table 2.** Effect of levels of supplementation of concentrate containing high level of cassava chip on feed intake, apparent digestibility and nitrogen balance in beef cattle

Item	Level of concentrate (% BW)				SEM	Contrast	
	0	1	2	3		L	Q
<b>DM intake (% BW)</b>							
UTS	1.9 <sup>a</sup>	1.7 <sup>ab</sup>	1.2 <sup>bc</sup>	1.0 <sup>c</sup>	0.20	*	NS
Conc.	0 <sup>a</sup>	0.9 <sup>b</sup>	1.8 <sup>c</sup>	1.9 <sup>c</sup>	0.17	**	NS
Total	1.9 <sup>a</sup>	2.6 <sup>b</sup>	3.0 <sup>b</sup>	2.9 <sup>b</sup>	0.20	**	NS
<b>Apparent digestibility (%)</b>							
DM	51.4 <sup>a</sup>	64.6 <sup>b</sup>	66.3 <sup>b</sup>	68.7 <sup>b</sup>	2.04	**	*
OM	60.3 <sup>a</sup>	68.5 <sup>b</sup>	71.0 <sup>b</sup>	73.1 <sup>b</sup>	1.99	**	NS
CP	44.6 <sup>a</sup>	77.7 <sup>b</sup>	72.0 <sup>b</sup>	71.8 <sup>b</sup>	2.04	**	**
NDF	57.8 <sup>a</sup>	54.2 <sup>a</sup>	39.9 <sup>b</sup>	44.2 <sup>b</sup>	2.04	**	NS
ADF	50.6 <sup>a</sup>	48.6 <sup>a</sup>	36.7 <sup>b</sup>	42.3 <sup>c</sup>	0.08	**	**
<b>Nitrogen balance (g/d)</b>							
N intake	96.8 <sup>a</sup>	142.8 <sup>b</sup>	171.5 <sup>c</sup>	181.9 <sup>d</sup>	1.17	**	NS
Faecal N	33.0 <sup>a</sup>	36.7 <sup>a</sup>	50.2 <sup>b</sup>	49.9 <sup>b</sup>	1.12	**	**
Urinary N	24.1 <sup>a</sup>	36.3 <sup>b</sup>	34.3 <sup>b</sup>	34.8 <sup>b</sup>	1.22	**	**
N absorption	60.1 <sup>a</sup>	109.1 <sup>b</sup>	119.6 <sup>b</sup>	133.6 <sup>b</sup>	1.18	**	NS
N retention	35.5 <sup>a</sup>	68.9 <sup>b</sup>	87.3 <sup>c</sup>	97.7 <sup>d</sup>	2.04	**	NS

<sup>a, b, c</sup> Values on the same row with different superscripts differ ( $p < 0.05$ ).

UTS = Urea-treated rice straw, Conc. = Concentrate, DM = Dry matter, CP = Crude protein.

OM = Organic matter, NDF = Neutral -detergent fiber, ADF = Acid -detergent fiber.

L = Linear, Q = Quadratic.

\*  $p < 0.05$ , \*\*  $p < 0.01$ , NS:  $p > 0.05$ .

U.S.A.) as described by Chen et al. (1993).

The amount of microbial purines absorbed (X mmol/day) corresponding to the purine derivatives excreted (PD) (Y mmol/day) was calculated based on the relationship derived by Chen and Gomes (1995).

$$Y = 0.85X + (0.385 W^{0.75})$$

where Y is the excretion of purine derivatives (mmol/day); X the microbial purines absorbed (mmol/day).

The supply of microbial N in gram per day was estimated as follows:

$$\text{Microbial N (g/day)} = \frac{X \times 70}{0.116 \times 0.83 \times 1,000} = 0.727 \times X$$

with X being the absorption of purine derivatives in mmol per day, following the assumptions made by Chen and Gomes (1995).

- Digestibility of microbial purine is 0.83.
- The N content of purines is 70 mg N/mmol.
- The ratio of purine-N: total N in mixed rumen microbes is 11.6:100

The efficiency of microbial protein supply (EMNS) to denote the microbial N supplied to the animal per unit of DOMR was calculated using the following formula:

$$\text{EMNS} = \frac{\text{MN (g/day)} \times 1,000 \text{ (g)}}{\text{DOMR (g)}}$$

Where DOMR =  $\text{DOMI} \times 0.65$  (ARC, 1990), DOMR = digestible organic matter apparently fermented in the rumen and DOMI = digestible organic matter intake.

Statistical analyses were performed using the GLM procedure of SAS (1998). Data were analyzed using the model  $Y_{ijk} = \mu + M_i + A_j + P_k + \varepsilon_{ijk}$ . Where  $Y_{ijk}$  observation from animal  $j$ , receiving diet  $i$ , in period  $k$ ;  $\mu$ , the overall of mean,  $M_i$ , the mean effect of level concentrate ( $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ),  $A_j$ , the effect of animal ( $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ),  $P_k$ , the effect of period ( $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ),  $\varepsilon_{ijk}$  the residual effect. Mean separations with a significant  $F$  ( $p < 0.05$ ) for treatment were statistically compared using the Duncan's New Multiple Rang Test (DMRT) (Steel and Torrie, 1980).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Apparent digestibility, feed intake and nitrogen balance

Intake of UTS had linearly decreased ( $p < 0.05$ ) when cattle received concentrates supplementation. Additionally, apparent digestibilities (%) of DM, OM, CP, NDF and ADF were significantly different in all treatments (Table 2). In addition, the mean DM digestibility in cattle received supplementation of concentrate at 3% BW was higher than those fed 2, 1 and 0% BW of concentrate supplemented (66.3, 64.4 and 51.4%), respectively. This data indicated

**Table 3.** Effect of levels of supplementation of concentrate containing high level of cassava chip on ruminal pH, ammonia-nitrogen (NH<sub>3</sub>-N), blood urea nitrogen (BUN) and volatile fatty acid (VFAs) in beef cattle

Item	Level of concentrate (% BW)				SEM	Contrast	
	0	1	2	3		L	Q
Ruminal pH	6.7 <sup>a</sup>	6.6 <sup>a</sup>	6.3 <sup>b</sup>	5.7 <sup>c</sup>	0.08	*	NS
NH <sub>3</sub> -N (mg/dl)	12.8 <sup>a</sup>	15.4 <sup>b</sup>	16.1 <sup>b</sup>	17.1 <sup>b</sup>	2.04	*	NS
BUN (mg/dl)	12.3	12.5	14.1	14.5	0.81	NS	NS
Total VFA (mmol/L)	107.2 <sup>a</sup>	118.2 <sup>b</sup>	119.2 <sup>b</sup>	118.8 <sup>b</sup>	3.76	*	NS
VFA (mol/100 mol)							
Acetate	71.1 <sup>a</sup>	67.9 <sup>ab</sup>	64.8 <sup>ab</sup>	63.4 <sup>b</sup>	2.09	*	NS
Propionate	17.5 <sup>a</sup>	21.5 <sup>b</sup>	24.9 <sup>c</sup>	26.1 <sup>c</sup>	0.81	**	NS
Butyrate	10.9	10.6	10.3	10.5	0.82	NS	NS
Acetate:propionate ratio	4.0 <sup>a</sup>	3.1 <sup>ab</sup>	2.6 <sup>b</sup>	2.4 <sup>b</sup>	0.04	*	NS
Acetate+butyrate: propionate ratio	4.6 <sup>a</sup>	3.6 <sup>b</sup>	3.0 <sup>bc</sup>	2.8 <sup>c</sup>	0.20	**	NS

<sup>a, b, c</sup> Values on the same row with different superscripts differ ( $p < 0.05$ ).

L = Linear, Q = Quadratic, C = Cubic.

\*  $p < 0.05$ , \*\*  $p < 0.01$ , NS:  $p > 0.05$ .

that rate of digestion of carbohydrates was the major factor controlling the energy available for growth of rumen microbes. Furthermore, cassava chip contain high soluble fractions of starch and sugar and can be added to diets to increase utilization of ruminal ammonia-N for microbial protein synthesis. Although, previous reports (Hoover, 1986) have suggested that the reduced pH decrease digestion of fibers. In addition, higher degradation rates can result in a substantial decrease in ruminal pH and fiber digestibility thus reducing feed intake. Moreover, when ruminal pH was reduced below 6.3 in dairy cows, ADF digestion could be reduced at 3.6% unit per 0.1 pH and may result in depressed feed intake (Erdman, 1988).

The intake of nitrogen was significantly affected by differences dietary treatments as presented in Table 2, nitrogen balance in term of nitrogen absorption and retention were significantly different among treatments. In addition, nitrogen excreted in faeces in all groups was significantly higher than control group. In this regard, the positive nitrogen balance, which observed in this study indicated that the positive influence of the different treatment feeds as supplements with UTS based feeding of cattle. This result was in agreement with Owens and Zinn (1988) who reported that nitrogen retention was considered as the most common index of the protein nutrition status of ruminants. In addition, Mehrez and Ørskov (1978) also reported that urea supplementation of oat straw diets had little effect on fecal nitrogen excretion but increased urinary nitrogen excretion, which they attributed to the high rumen degradability of urea. This observation can be attributed to the type of protein and its degradability and also possibly due to lack of synchrony between nitrogen release through protein degradation and energy availability.

### Rumen fermentation parameters

Concentration of NH<sub>3</sub>-N, VFA, BUN and pH in the rumen fluid were used to monitor rumen fermentation

pattern (Table 3). The rumen pH was significantly affected by level of concentrate supplementation. The cattle fed UTS with concentrate at 0 and 1% BW supplementation had higher rumen pH (6.7 and 6.6) than those cattle fed UTS with concentrate at 2 and 3% BW (6.3 and 5.7) supplementation. In this experiment, supplementation of concentrate at 3% BW resulted in lowest ruminal pH (5.3) than those received concentrate supplementation at 2, 1 and 0% BW, respectively. It has been suggested that concentrates containing high levels of cassava chip with high levels of nonstructural carbohydrate and readily degradable in rumen could decrease ruminal pH and be lower than optimal values (6.5-7.0) when cattle received high level of concentrate at 3% BW supplementation (Wanapat, 2003).

Other studies Melaku et al. (2004) demonstrated inhibitory effects of rumen pH on cellulolysis only at values below 6.1 while Mould and Ørskov (1984) reported that lower pH have a major impact on fiber digestion. In addition, Cheng et al. (1984) reported that low ruminal pH appeared to prevent a strong attachment of bacteria to plant cell walls, resulting in lower fiber digestion. Based on this study, the rumen pH measured in cattle supplemented with any of the treatment feeds, supplementation of concentrate at 3% BW resulted in inhibiting the fermentation of fiber in the rumen as well as microbial protein synthesis.

Ruminal NH<sub>3</sub>-N concentrations were significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ ) among treatments at each hour of sampling and were in optimal ruminal NH<sub>3</sub>-N range (15-30 mg %, Boniface et al., 1986; Perdok and Leng, 1990; Wanapat and Pimpa, 1999) for improving rumen ecology, microbial protein synthesis, digestibility and voluntary feed intake. Furthermore, blood urea-nitrogen concentrations were not significantly different among treatments. The differences in NH<sub>3</sub>-N and BUN concentrations among treatments may have been related directly to CP levels of concentrate. In addition, Preston et al. (1965) reported that

**Table 4.** Effect of levels of supplementation of concentrate containing high level of cassava chip on ruminal bacteria, protozoa, fungi population, total viable, amylolytic, proteolytic and cellulolytic bacteria in beef cattle

Item	Level of concentrate (% BW)				SEM	Contrast	
	0	1	2	3		L	Q
Rumen microbes (cells/g)							
Bacteria ( $\times 10^{11}$ )	1.1 <sup>ab</sup>	1.2 <sup>b</sup>	1.0 <sup>ac</sup>	0.8 <sup>c</sup>	0.13	*	*
Protozoa ( $\times 10^5$ )	4.1 <sup>a</sup>	5.3 <sup>ab</sup>	7.6 <sup>bc</sup>	9.6 <sup>c</sup>	0.87	*	NS
Fungal zoospores ( $\times 10^5$ )	15.1 <sup>a</sup>	9.6 <sup>ab</sup>	7.0 <sup>c</sup>	5.3 <sup>c</sup>	2.04	*	NS
Viable bacteria (CFU/g)							
Amylolytic ( $\times 10^7$ )	5.6 <sup>a</sup>	8.5 <sup>b</sup>	20.2 <sup>c</sup>	3.6 <sup>a</sup>	0.81	**	**
Proteolytic ( $\times 10^6$ )	2.8 <sup>a</sup>	7.8 <sup>b</sup>	5.0 <sup>c</sup>	3.6 <sup>a</sup>	0.40	**	**
Cellulolytic ( $\times 10^7$ )	23.1 <sup>a</sup>	11.5 <sup>b</sup>	7.0 <sup>c</sup>	6.6 <sup>c</sup>	1.22	**	NS

<sup>a, b, c</sup> Values on the same row with different superscripts differ ( $p < 0.05$ ).

L = Linear, Q = Quadratic, C = Cubic.

\*  $p < 0.05$ , \*\*  $p < 0.01$ , NS:  $p > 0.05$ .

**Table 5.** Effect of levels of supplementation of concentrate containing high level of cassava chip on nitrogen balance (g/d), excretion of purine derivatives (mmol/d) and microbial nitrogen supply in beef cattle

Item	Level of concentrate (%BW)				SEM	Contrast	
	0	1	2	3		L	Q
PD, mmol/d							
Allantoin excretion <sup>1</sup>	143.6 <sup>a</sup>	211.6 <sup>b</sup>	244.6 <sup>c</sup>	130.7 <sup>d</sup>	1.22	**	**
Allantoin absorption	137.8 <sup>a</sup>	215.2 <sup>b</sup>	256.6 <sup>c</sup>	122.7 <sup>d</sup>	2.06	**	**
Microbial N supply, gN/d <sup>2</sup>	98.9 <sup>a</sup>	156.4 <sup>b</sup>	186.6 <sup>c</sup>	89.2 <sup>d</sup>	0.85	**	**
EMNS, gN/kg OMDR <sup>3</sup>	18.3 <sup>a</sup>	20.1 <sup>b</sup>	23.3 <sup>c</sup>	12.1 <sup>d</sup>	0.12	**	**

<sup>a, b, c</sup> Values on the same row with different superscripts differ ( $p < 0.05$ ).

<sup>1</sup> Allantoin in urine cattle was 80-85% of total purine (IAEA, 1997).

<sup>2</sup> Microbial N (gN/day) =  $(X \times 70) / (0.116 \times 0.83 \times 1,000) = 0.727 \times X$  (where, X = total absorption of purine derivatives).

<sup>3</sup> EMNS = Efficiency of microbial nitrogen supply (g N/kg OMDR), OMDR (kg) = 65% of organic matter digestible in total tract.

concentrations of BUN were highly correlated with protein intake and reflected the level of ammonia production in the rumen. This study revealed that incorporation of concentrate has increased  $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$  concentration with ammonia being the main nitrogen source for growth and protein synthesis by ruminal bacteria to achieve maximum fermentation (Satter and Slyter, 1974; Hoover, 1986; Wanapat, 2000). Similarly, Krebs and Leng (1984) suggested requirements for rumen  $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$  of 20 mg % or more for sufficient voluntary intake of low quality roughages.

The influence of levels of supplementation of concentrate containing high levels of cassava chip with UTS as roughage on total VFA concentration, production of total VFA, acetic acid proportion, propionic acid proportion, butyric acid proportion and acetic to propionic ratio are shown in Table 3. Mean total VFA concentration increased from 107.2 to 119.2 mM/L and proportion of propionic acid ranged from 17.5 to 26.1 mM/L ( $p < 0.05$ ) as linearly as increasing level of concentrate supplementation. The observed reduction in pH associated with increased concentrate feeding was due to increased VFA concentrations and were similar to the results of Robinson et al. (1986) and Sutton et al. (1993) who reported that increasing the starch content of the concentrate resulted in

higher rumen propionate concentrations which may cause a depression in rumen pH. However, the results of total VFA concentration in all diets were found in normal concentrations (70 to 130 mM/L) and agreed with result of France and Siddons (1993).

#### Rumen microorganism populations

The effects of supplementation of concentrate with UTS as roughage in cattle on the ruminal microorganisms are summarized in Table 4. The supplementation of concentrate containing high level of cassava chip was significantly different among treatments ( $p < 0.05$ ). The higher concentrate supplementation decreased population of bacteria and fungi while protozoal population was decreased ( $p < 0.05$ ). In addition, supplementation of levels concentrate on viable bacterial population such as amylolytic and proteolytic bacteria were higher than the control groups while cellulolytic bacteria were linearly decreased ( $p < 0.05$ ). However, the populations of protozoa were higher when receiving high levels of concentrate and it could be due to engulfment of starch by protozoa as substrate to produce end-product. Furthermore, Jouaney and Ushida (1999) reported that the number of protozoa per ml rumen fluid depended on the rate of soluble sugars and starches in the ration and also pH.

### Urinary excretion of purine derivatives and microbial nitrogen supply

In ruminants, allantoin is a main product of purine catabolism and the principal purine derivative in urine. The supplementation of level of concentrate on the purine derivative excretion, EMNS and microbial N supply are summarized in Table 5. Excretion of allantoin in urine was linearly and quadratically increased ( $p < 0.01$ ) with effects of different level of concentrate supplementation. The microbial nitrogen supplies as calculated from purine derivative excretion were from 89.2 to 186.6 g N/day. Moreover, EMNS ranged from 9.3 to 19.3 g N/kg OMDR. The higher microbial nitrogen supply and EMNS in beef steers fed concentrate at 2% BW may be due to synchronization of the available fermentable energy and degradable nitrogen in the rumen. Hoover and Stokes (1991) reported that the rate of digestion of carbohydrates was a major factor controlling the energy available for microbial growth. In this experiment concentrates with high level of cassava chip at 80% and urea at 4% could be synchronized to produce ruminal  $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$  and C-skeleton suitable for ruminal microbial protein synthesis.

### CONCLUSIONS

Based on this study it was shown that locally available carbohydrate source of cassava chip could be effectively at high level of 80% of concentrate and high level of urea at 4%. Supplementation of concentrate with containing high level of cassava chip at 2% BW was most suitable for rumen ecology, ruminal fermentation and increasing of microbial protein synthesis efficiency in rumen. In addition, this concentrate was inexpensive, easily made by the farmers and could be used for beef cattle as well as dairy cows. Further use of cassava chip in ruminant diets should be widely recommended and advocated both at farm and industrial levels.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to express their most sincere gratitude and appreciation to the Thailand Research Fund (TRF) via "The Royal Golden Jubilee Ph.D. Program" (RGJ. Ph.D. program), Tropical Feed Resources Research and Development Center (TROFREC) and Graduate School of Khon Kaen University for their financial support of research and the use of research facilities.

### REFERENCES

- AOAC. 1985. Official Methods of Analysis. Association of Official Analysis Chemists, DC, USA.
- ARC. 1990. The Nutrient Requirements of Ruminant Livestock. Suppl.1. Commonwealth Agricultural Bureaux, Slough, Farnham Royal, UK.
- Boniface, A. N., R. M. Murrain and J. P. Hogan. 1986. Optimum level of ammonia in the rumen liquid of cattle fed tropical pasture hay. *Proc. Aust. Soc. Anim. Prod.* 1:151-154.
- Chanjula, P., M. Wanapat, C. Wachirapakorn, S. Uriyapongson and P. Rowlinson. 2003. Ruminal degradability of tropical feeds and their potential use in ruminant diets. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 16:211-216.
- Chanjula, P., M. Wanapat, C. Wachirapakorn and P. Rowlinson. 2004. Effect of synchronizing starch sources and protein (NPN) in the rumen on feed intake, rumen microbial fermentation, nutrient utilization and performance of lactating dairy cows. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 17:1400-1410.
- Chen, X. B. and M. J. Gomes. 1995. Estimation of Microbial Protein Supply to Sheep and Cattle Based on Urinary Excretion of Purine Derivatives-An Overview of the Technical Details. Occasional Publication 1992. International Feed Resources Unit, Rowett Research Institute, Bucksburn, Aberdeen AB2 9SB, UK.
- Chen, X. B., D. J. Kyle and E. R. Ørskov. 1993. Measurement of allantoin in urine and plasma by high-performance liquid chromatography with pre-column derivatization. *J. Chromatogr.* 617: 241-247.
- Cheng, K. J., C. S. Stewart, D. Dinsdale and J. W. Costerton. 1984. Electron microscopy of bacteria involved in the digestion of plant cell walls. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 10:93-120.
- Crocker, C. L. 1967. Rapid determination of urea nitrogen in serum or plasma without deproteinization. *Am. J. Med. Technol.* 33:361-365.
- Erdman, R. A. 1988. Dietary buffering requirements of the lactating dairy cows. A review. *J. Dairy Sci.* 71:3246-3266.
- France, J. and R. C. Siddons. 1993. Volatile fatty acid production. In: Quantitative Aspects Ruminant Digestion and Metabolism. (Ed. J. M. Forbes and J. France). pp. 107-122. CAB International, Willingford, UK.
- Galyean, M. 1989. Laboratory Procedure in Animal Nutrition Research. Department of Animal and Life Science. New Mexico State University, USA.
- Goering, H. K. and Van Soest. 1970. Forage Fiber Analysis (apparatus, reagent, procedures and some application). Washington, DC: Agric. Handbook No. 379, ARS.
- Hong, N. T. T., M. Wanapat, C. Wachirapakorn, P. Pakdee and P. Rowlinson. 2003. Effects of timing of initial cutting and subsequent cutting on yields and chemical compositions of cassava hay and its supplementation on lactating dairy cows. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 16:1763-1769.
- Hoover, W. H. 1986. Chemical factors involved in ruminal fiber digestion. *J. Dairy Sci.* 69:2755-2766.
- Hoover, W. H. and S. R. Stokes. 1991. Balancing carbohydrate and proteins for optimum rumen microbial yield. *J. Dairy Sci.* 74:3640-3644.
- Hungate, R. E. 1969. A roll tube method for cultivation of strict anaerobes. In: Methods in Microbiology. (Ed. J. R. Norris and D. W. Ribbons). pp. 313-122. Academic. New York.
- IAEA. 1997. Estimation of the rumen microbial protein production from purine derivatives in rumen. Animal production and health section. Vienna, Austria.
- Jouaney, J. P. and K. Ushida. 1999. The role of protozoa in feed

- digestion. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 12:113-126.
- Khampa, S., M. Wanapat, C. Wachirapakorn, N. Nontaso and M. Wattiaux. 2006a. Effect of levels of sodium dl-malate supplementation on ruminal fermentation efficiency in concentrates containing high levels of cassava chip in dairy steers. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 19:368-375.
- Khampa, S., M. Wanapat, C. Wachirapakorn, N. Nontaso and M. Wattiaux. 2006b. Effects of Urea Level and Sodium DL-malate in Concentrate Containing High Cassava Chip on Ruminal Fermentation Efficiency, Microbial Protein Synthesis in Lactating Dairy Cows Raised under Tropical Condition. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 19:837-844.
- Khang, D. N., H. Wiktorsson and T. R. Preston. 2005. and Chemical Composition of Cassava Foliage and Tuber Yield as Influenced by Harvesting Height and Cutting Interval. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 18:1029-1035.
- Kiyothong, K. and M. Wanapat. 2004. Growth, hay yield and chemical composition of cassava and Stylo 184 grown under intercropping. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 17:799-807.
- Krebs, G. and R. A. Leng. 1984. The effect of supplementation with molasses/urea blocks on ruminal digestion. *Proc. Aust. Soc. Anim. Prod.* 15:704-711.
- Mehrez, A. Z. and E. R. Ørskov. 1978. Protein degradation and optimum urea concentration in cereal based diets for sheep. *Br. J. Nutr.* 40:337-345.
- Melaku, S., K. J. Peters and A. Tegegne. 2004. Microbial nitrogen supply, nitrogen retention and rumen function in Menz sheep supplemented with dried leaves of multipurpose trees, their mixtures or wheat bran. *Small Rum. Res.* 52:25-36.
- Mould, F. L. and E. R. Ørskov. 1984. Manipulation of rumen fluid pH and its influence on cellulolysis in sacco, dry matter degradation and the rumen microflora of sheep offered either hay or concentrate. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 10:1-14.
- Owens, F. N. and R. Zinn. 1988. Protein metabolism of ruminant animals. In: *The Ruminant Animal Digestive Physiology and Nutrition* (Ed. D. C. Church). pp. 227-249. Waveland Press Inc., Prospect Heights, IL, USA.
- Perdok, H. B. and R. A. Leng. 1990. Effect of supplementation with protein meal on the growth of cattle given a basal diet of untreated or ammoniated rice straw. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 3:269-279.
- Preston, R. L., D. D. Schnakanberg and W. H. Pander. 1965. Protein utilization in ruminants. I. Blood urea nitrogen as affected by protein intake. *J. Nutr.* 86:281-287.
- Promkot, C. and M. Wanapat. 2005. Effect of level of crude protein and use of cottonseed meal in diets containing cassava chips and rice straw for lactating dairy cows. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 18:502-511.
- Robinson, P. H., S. Tamminga and A. M. Van Vuuren. 1986. Influence of declining level of feed intake and varying the proportion of starch in the concentrate on rumen fermentation in dairy cows. *Livestock Prod. Sci.* 15:173-189.
- Samuel, M., S. Sagathewan, J. Thomas and G. Mathen. 1997. An HPLC method for estimation of volatile fatty acids of ruminal fluid. *Indian J. Anim. Sci.* 69:805-807.
- SAS. 1998. User's Guide: Statistics, Version 7<sup>th</sup> Edition. SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC.
- Satter, L. D. and L. L. Slyter. 1974. Effect of ammonia concentration on rumen microbial protein production *in vitro*. *Br. J. Nutri.* 32:199-208.
- Sommart, K., D. S. Parker, M. Wanapat and P. Rowlinson. 2000. Fermentation characteristics and microbial protein synthesis in an *in vitro* system using cassava, rice straw and dried ruzi grass as substrates. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 13:1084-1093.
- Steel, R. G. D. and J. H. Torrie. 1980. *Principles and Procedure of Statistics*. McGraw Hill Book Co. New York.
- Sutton, J. D., S. V. Morant, J. A. Bines, D. J. Napper and D. I. Givens. 1993. Effect of altering the starch:fibre ratio in the concentrates on hay intake and milk production by Friesian cows. *J. Agric. Sci. (Camb)*. 120:379-390.
- Van Keulen, J. and B. A. Young. 1977. Evaluation of acid insoluble ash as a neutral marker in ruminant digestibility studies. *J. Anim. Sci.* 44:282-287.
- Wanapat, M. 1990. *Nutritional Aspects of Ruminant Production in Southeast Asia with Special Reference to Thailand*. Funny Press, Ltd., Bangkok, Thailand.
- Wanapat, M. 2000. Rumen manipulation to increase the efficient use of local feed resources and productivity of ruminants in the tropics. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 13 (Suppl.):59-67.
- Wanapat, M. 2003. Manipulation of cassava cultivation and utilization to improve protein to energy biomass for livestock feeding in the tropics. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 16:463-472.
- Wanapat, M. and O. Pimpa. 1999. Effect of ruminal NH<sub>3</sub>-N levels on ruminal fermentation, purine derivatives, digestibility and rice straw intake in swamp buffaloes. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 12:904-907.